







## LOFAR Imager: taking Direction Dependent Effects into account using A-Projection

Cyril Tasse, Ger van Diepen, Joris van Zwieten, Bas van der Tol

Sanjay Bhatnagar, Urvashi Rau, Kumar Golap



$$V_{pq} = (g_p.g_q^*) \int \mathcal{B}(l,m).\mathcal{I}(l,m)$$
  
. exp  $(-2\pi i (u_{pq}l + v_{pq}m + w_{pq}.(\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} - 1))) dl.dm$ 







- Calibration





- Calibration

$$V_{pq} = \underbrace{(g_p.g_q^*)}_{S} \int \mathcal{B}(l,m).\mathcal{I}(l,m)$$
  
. exp  $(-2\pi i (u_{pq}l + v_{pq}m + w_{pq}.(\sqrt{1 l^2 m^2} - 1)))dl.dm$   
Small field of view

- Imaging

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{m})} \mathbf{FT}(\frac{V(u,v)}{[g.g^*](u,v)})$$





- Calibration

- Imaging



## ... When Direction Dependent Effects (DDE) become a problem : Beam





#### LOFAR stations are phased arrays

- Beam is variable in frequency and time
- Beam can be station-dependent

## ... When Direction Dependent Effects (DDE) become a problem : Beam

One off-axis source IQUV=(100, 40, 20 10) 08<sup>h</sup>36<sup>m</sup> 24<sup>m</sup> 00m 07h54 J2000 Right Ascension B C -10-50 ΧХ -20 XY -100 -30 L C -10 -20 -20 -40YΧ -60<sup>L</sup> -30 L 

## ... When Direction Dependent Effects (DDE) become a problem : Beam

-20

-40

-60 L

1000

2000

3000

4000

5000

6000

7000

One off-axis source IQUV=(100, 40, 20 10)

"Traditional" imager removes visibility with constant amplitude







... When Direction Dependent Effects (DDE) become a problem : Ionosphere



**Big field of view : station, direction, time and frequency dependent** 

#### **Other direction dependent effects :**

- Projection of the dipoles on the sky
- Faraday rotation
- + Effect on the polarisation

### **The Measurement Equation**

Hamaker 1996



## **A-Projection**



### **JAWS: the practice**

- Plug in the casa architecture
- Full Polarization
- Convolution function is mapped by i,j,t, nu
- lonosphere easy to plug in
- Will run in parallel



## Mathematical framework-works

#### One off-axis source IQUV=(100, 40, 20 10)

#### **BBS predict (DFT)**



### Mathematical framework-works



### Mathematical framework-works

**BBS predict (DFT)** 

#### AW degridding (clean component put by hand)



### Mathematical framework-works



## Mathematical framework-works



Recovered IQUV=(100, 40, 20 10) fluxes to better than 1%

## Mathematical framework-works

Same simulated dataset with one off-axis source and the beam (IQUV=100,40,20,10)



#### On real data (A2255)





JAWS

Casa

See Roberto Pizzo talk

### On real data (3C196)

#### 3C196 off axis ~150MHz

- Calibrated using 3C196+2 sources sources
- AW visibility estimates for those. Little difference?



#### On real data (3C196)



#### Beam taken into account





#### No Beam taken into account

### On real data (3C196)



Beam taken into account



No Beam taken into account

### **Conclusion and Next steps**

**Conclusion:** 

- Full Polarisation Framework based on Measurement Equation is working
- Doesn't do miracles
- Very flexible
- Effect will be seen at higher dynamical range?

#### Next steps:

- Optimise code
- Study convergence major cycle & SelfCal
- Ionosphere phase screen model
- Full Multi-Frequency cleaning
- Faraday Rotation?

... Start doing serious survey science



# LOFAR Beam: The Mueller Matrix varying over the image plane



One pair of antennae, one time and frequency value

# LOFAR Beam: The Mueller Matrix varying over the image plane

Beam bormalized by Beam Jones matrix at the center of the field (we correct the visibilities accordingly before the imaging)



**!!! Color bar is adapted to the image here otherwise you don't see anything!!!**