

European Research Council Established by the European Commission Supporting top researchers from anywhere in the world





The LOFAR EoR project:

VLBI and the flanking fields

Ger de Bruyn,

Pandey, Maaijke Mevius, André Offringa ++

ASTRON, Dwingeloo & Kapteyn Institute, Groningen

Main science goals of the LOFAR EoR project

- Statistical detection of global signal; z-evolution
- Measure underlying dark matter density spectrum
- Statistical characterization of ionization bubbles
- The environment of high z QSOs / SMBH
- Constrain the sources: stars, QSOs or ...
- Study 21cm forest to high z radio sources (if any)
- Cross correlation with other probes: CMB, Ly- α ,





Rajat Thomas (2009)

6 April 2016

115 - 190 MHz z = 11.4 – 6.4

Vibor Jelic (2010)

This will take ~ 3000h of LOFAR HBA observing (2-3 windows)

Main science goals of the LOFAR EoR project

- Statistical detection of global signal; z-evolution
- Measure underlying dark matter density spectrum
- Statistical characterization of ionization bubbles
- The environment of high z QSOs / SMBH
- Constrain the sources: stars, QSOs or ...
- Study 21cm forest to high z radio sources (if any)
- Cross correlation with other probes: CMB, Ly- α ,



Vibor Jelic (2010)



Rajat Thomas (2009)

6 April 2016



HBA observing (2-3 windows)

z = 11.4 - 7.0

Location of our 3 EoR windows



Challenge #7: Very high DR imaging

DR ~ 10^{6} : 1





The NCP window: the deep and wide picture



First null 10 deg. diameter, second null 20 deg. diameter

EoR-group efforts in the last year(s)

- 'Known' unknowns: - sky-model, station-beams, ionosphere
- Unknown unknowns: - 'excess noise' (on large scales, Stokes V versus I)
(but also 3 'knowns' need much more work !)

Our main tools:

- NDPPP

- flagger (AO), averager, ...
- BBS-NDPPP, SAGEcal
- ExCon, WSClean
- calibration programs (now including LOFAR beam)
- imager, deconvolution

'New concepts' /issues in ultradeep calibration/imaging at < noise

- 'suppression' of unmodelled structure a.k.a. scale-dependent 'bias'
- 'discontinuity' in intensity scale in residual data after SAGEcal (a.k.a. 'leverage')
- 'solver noise' => boosting of residual fluctuations
- polarization leakage from Q,U \rightarrow I

++ Our new cluster: Dawn (see Pandey etal , ASTRON Newsletter Dec 2015)

EoR-group efforts in the last year(s)



++ Our new cluster: Dawn (see Pandey etal , ASTRON Newsletter Dec 2015)

6 April 2016

EoR observing configuration: 1 target + 6 flanking fields

















EoR and VLBI? Why imaging at 4 resolutions ?

1st EoR detection probably will be on large scales (core-superterp) 10' → exquisite calibration of stations between baselines to about 400 $\lambda\lambda$

We also need to worry about polarization leakage $(Q, U \rightarrow I)$.Polarization signals are best seen at a resolution of about1'This will require baselines out to about4000 $\lambda\lambda$

Providing enough constraints to model the stationbeams and the
ionosphere, in more than 100 directions requires sky models at6"This requires all Dutch baselines going up to40000 λλ

Our EoR windows are dominated by very bright, compact, sources.Removal to a DR of 1,000,000:1 requires knowledge at scales of0.5"This corresponds to baselines on a European scale of400000 λλ



Recent (Cycle 5) datasets used for 0.25-0.50" imaging

3C 196

L432696 26 Feb 2016, 12 IS, resolution: 32ch/sb - 1s 8h 20 SAP's with each 24 subbands (115-172 MHz)

NCP

L426512 14 Jan 2016, 9 IS, resolution: 32ch/sb - 1s 15 h 9 SAP's with each 54 subbands (115 - 172 MHz)

SAP = Sub Array Pointing ('digital array beam')

NB: 1s and 6 kHz limits time/freq smearing to about 0.3" at 1° distance from phase tracking centre.

Bright (> 1 Jy), compact, sources in the NCP window

NCP 115 MHz 8° x 8° PSF 3'

SAP000 is centered at (00h+90°, + sign)

The bright source in SAP001 is 7.2 Jy and ~ 0.3" size



Declination (J2000)

VLBI Imaging in SAP002 (1.5° away from SAP001)



VLBI Imaging in SAP005 (3° away from SAP001)



The ionospheric phase structure function





0.5" PSF imaging over 1° wide fields should be possible !

For a good ionospheric night (say $r_{diff} > 8$ km) and with similar ionospheres over all LOFAR stations in Europe the isoplanatic angle appears to be >1°

Transfer of the complex instrument gains for a VLBI-compact central source generally should be straightforward over a $> 1^{\circ}$ FOV or more !!

Note that the HPBW of an International Station (96 tiles) is $\approx 2^{\circ}$ at 150 MHz

If you are only interested in compact structure in a small area use only IS-IS baselines augmented with baselines between some remote NL stations (say > 20 klambda).

The main issue faced when making multi-scale images of the VLBI sources is that you need to combine data from three different interferometer—beams (NL24-NL24, NL24-IS96, IS96-IS96) which have different FOV and different sensitivity to extended structure.

Feb 2016: LOFAR goes East: \rightarrow 1300km baseline



Raw visibilities : 'CORE' - Stations PL610, PL611, PL612



6 April 2016

New images of 3C196 using PL610, PL611, PL612



3C 196: imaged with a 0.5" PSF (de Bruyn, Assen 2015)

Summary and Forward look

Imaging and calibration challenges on a wide range of scales: 0.5'' - 5'' - 50'' - 500''

- EoR science mostly in inner uv-plane: $30 - 400 \lambda \lambda$ - but bright polarized foregrounds at up to $4000 \lambda \lambda$ - Calibrating with baselines up to $40000 \lambda \lambda$ - Requiring models (of bright sources) up to $40000 \lambda \lambda$

Depending on ionospheric 'stability' the complex gains can be transferred over a distance of up to 3° from calibrator. Then selfcal can improve images further \rightarrow < 0.5" PSF in reach over a very wide field

Working on complete spectral models for all bright sources in the two EoR windows.

Using data from 3C196 flanking fields

The flanking field data will be used to construct better sky models, enlarge the FOV for polarization images and improve ionospheric modelling

72 MHz (380 subbands) are allocated to the target field (115-189 MHz)
24 MHz (108 subbands) form 6 beams, each 18 subbands also covering 115-189 MHz
These socalled flanking fields are in a hexagon pattern at a radius of 3.75°.

As of Jan 2015 the 6 flanking fields were moved inwards to a radius of 2° (and rotated) We also created 4 staggered frequency combs to combine data (from 4 nights) to provide better frequency coverage with lower RMSF sidelobes.

Hereafter we show 7 images of the flanking fields (still at 3.75° from 3C196)
SAP000 (the central beam) was calibrated with only the 3C196 4-comp model
SAP001-006 data were calibrated using the gain transferred from SAP000
NB: SAP = Sub Array Pointing