




SKA Data Flow and Processing – a key SKA design driver

Paul Alexander

SKA Overall System



Some numbers to remember

Fastest HPC today – Roadrunner ~ 1.2 PFlop

Total internet data rate ~ 20 Tb/s

BUT on your desktop you can have ~1 TFlop


Data rates to the correlator

Data rate from each collector

$$G_1 = 2 N_p \Delta f N_{\text{bit}}$$

$$N_b = 4 \Delta f N_{\text{bit}} N_b$$


$$N_b = \frac{1}{\Delta f} \int_{f_{\text{max}} - \Delta f}^{f_{\text{max}}} n_b(f) df$$



AA, Number of elements $N_e \sim 65000$; $N_b \ll N_e$ limited by data rate

250 sq-deg across band $N_b \sim 1200$ (Memo 100)

$$G_1 \sim 16 \text{ Tb/s}$$



Dishes $G_1 \sim 64 \text{ Gbs}$

PAFs FoV is constant across the band

$N_b \sim 7$ to give 20 sq-deg across the band $G_1 \sim 60 \text{ Gb/s}$ (Memo 100)

Data rates from the correlator

- Standard results for integration/dump time and channel width

$$\frac{\delta t}{s} = a_t \frac{D}{B} \sim 1200 \frac{D}{B} \quad \frac{\delta f}{f} = a_f \frac{D}{B} \sim \frac{1}{10} \frac{D}{B}$$

- Naive data rate then given by

$$G = g(B) \frac{1}{2} N^2 N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{\delta t} \frac{\Delta f}{\delta f} 2N_w$$

$$G = g(B) N^2 N_w N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{a_t a_f} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \left(\frac{B}{D}\right)^2$$

- Can reduce this using baseline-dependent integration times and channel widths

$$G = N^2 N_w N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{a_t a_f} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \int_0^B n(b) \left(\frac{b}{D}\right)^2 db$$

$$= N^2 N_w N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{a_t a_f} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \left(\frac{B}{D}\right)^2 \int_0^B n(b) \left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^2 db$$

Data rates from the correlator

- Standard results for integration/dump time and channel width

$$\frac{\delta t}{s} = a_t \frac{D}{B} \sim 1200 \frac{D}{B} \quad \frac{\delta f}{f} = a_f \frac{D}{B} \sim \frac{1}{10} \frac{D}{B}$$

- Naive data rate then given by

$$G = g(B) \frac{1}{2} N^2 N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{\delta t} \frac{\Delta f}{\delta f} 2N_w$$


$$G = g(B) N^2 N_w N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{a_t a_f} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \left(\frac{B}{D}\right)^2$$

- Can reduce this using baseline-dependent integration times and channel widths

$$G = N^2 N_w N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{a_t a_f} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \int_0^B n(b) \left(\frac{b}{D}\right)^2 db$$

$$= N^2 N_w N_p^2 N_b \frac{1}{a_t a_f} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \left(\frac{B}{D}\right)^2 \int_0^B n(b) \left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^2 db$$


Data rates from the correlator



$$n(x) = \begin{cases} \beta/x & x \geq x_1 \\ \alpha & x < x_1 \end{cases}$$

	α	β	B_1 / km
Dishes	0.08	0.11	2.8
Aperture Array	0.33	0.13	2.2

$$g(x) = \int_0^x n(x') dx' = \begin{cases} \beta \ln\left(\frac{x}{x_1}\right) + \alpha x_1 & x \geq x_1 \\ \alpha x & x < x_1 \end{cases}$$



Reduction in data rate using baseline-dependence (> 0.06)

$$\frac{1}{2} \beta / \left(\beta \ln\left(\frac{B}{B_1}\right) + \alpha B_1 \right)^{1/3}$$

$$B = B_1$$

$$B \gg B_1$$

Data rate out of correlator exceeds input data rate for 15-m dishes for baselines exceeding $\sim 130\text{km}$ (36km if single integration time)

At best for dishes output data rate \sim input; AA's reduction by $\sim 10^4$


Data rates from the correlator

Experiment				3000 Dishes + SPF		1630 Dishes + PAFS		250 AA stations	
Description	B _{max} (km)	Δf (MHz)	f _{max} (MHz)	Achieved FoV ¹	Data rate (Tb/s)	Achieved FoV ¹	Data rate (Tb/s)	Achieved FoV ¹	Data rate (Tb/s)
Survey: High surface brightness continuum	5	700	1400	0.78	0.055	15	0.11	108	0.03
Survey: Nearby HI high res. 32000 channels	5	700	1400	0.78	1.0	15	2.0	108	2.6
Survey: Medium spectral resolution; resolved imaging (8000)	30	700	1400	0.78	1.2	15	2.4	108	5.4
Survey: Medium resolution continuum	180	700	1400	0.78	33.1	15	66	108	14.1
Pointed: Medium resolution continuum deep observation	180	700	1400	0.78	33.1			0.78	0.15
High resolution with station beam forming ²	1000	2000	8000	0.0015	33.4				
High resolution with station beam forming ³	1000	2000	8000	0.0015	429				
Highest resolution for deep imaging ²	3000	4000	10000	0.001	391				

Notes

1. Achieved FoV is at f_{max} and has units of degrees squared. For the AA and PAFs we calculate the data rate assuming it is constant across the band.
2. Assuming that for the dynamic range the FoV of the station only has to be imaged
3. Assuming that for the dynamic range the FoV of the dish must be imaged

Processing model




Post correlator

- Processing on the raw UV data is highly parallel
- UV data needs to be buffered based on current algorithms
- UV processing includes:
 - Gridding and de-gridding from current sky model
 - Peeling and subtraction of current sky model
- Image size: $a^2 N_{\text{ch}} (B/D)^2 N_b$ Ratio UV to “image” data

$$\sim 0.06 T_{\text{obs}} N^2 g(B) \frac{\Delta f}{f} \frac{1}{a_c a_f} \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{N_p^2}{N_{\text{ch}}} \sim 210 \left(\frac{T_{\text{obs}}}{1\text{min}} \right) \left(\frac{N}{1000} \right)^2 \left(\frac{N_{\text{ch}}}{32000} \right)^{-1}$$

Major reduction in data rate occurs between UV data
and image data

The SKA Processing Challenge




Model for UV processor

- Highly parallel – consider something achievable – NVIDIA promises 20 TFlop in 2 years – assume 50 TFlop
- Approximate analysis of ops/sample: 20000/calibration loop
- Processor: €1000, 5 calibration loops, 50% efficiency,
- each processor processes ~ 1 GB/s of data
- Requirement: 100 PFlop (AA) 2 EFlop (dishes)
- Buffer 1 hr of data therefore we need to buffer 7.2 TB in a fast store
Assume €200 per TB.
- UV-processing cost: Cost = €2.5m (TB/s)

AA	< €10m
Dishes	~ €125m

The SKA Processing Challenge



Data Products

Experiment	T_{obs}	B/km	D/m	N_b	N_{ch}	N_v	Size / TB
High resolution spectral line	3600	200	15	1	32000	$5 \cdot 10^{13}$	200
Survey spectral line medium resolution	3600	30	56	1000	32000	$8 \cdot 10^{13}$	330
Snapshot continuum – some spectral information	60	180	56	1200	32	$7 \cdot 10^{12}$	30
High resolution long baseline	3600	3000	60	1	4	$7 \cdot 10^{14}$	360

- ~0.5 – 10 PB/day of image data
- Source count $\sim 10^6$ sources per square degree
- $\sim 10^{10}$ sources in the accessible SKA sky, 10^4 numbers/record
- **~1 PB for the catalogued data**

100 Pbytes – 3 EBytes / year of fully processed data

Summary

- Processing challenge depends critically on overall system and experiment
- For dishes, the data rate drops significantly only after the UV processor
 - For many experiments the data rate can increase through the correlator.
- Design of processing system needs a complete analysis of the data flow
 - Separate UV-processor and imaging processors
 - Processing UV data is a highly parallel excellent scalability
 - Local buffer for UV processor
- Maximum baseline and number of correlated elements drive costs
- Processing is expensive, but achievable
- **BUT must consider whole system – the processor MUST match the requirements of the system – PrepSKA**





Non PDRA resources

- Data flow and overview
 - Alexander, Diamond, Garrington,
ASTRON (de Vos, de Bruyn?)
- Pipeline processing:
 - ASTRON LOFAR team
 - CASU – Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit – Irwin
 - TDP – to be determined
- Data products and VO
 - UK VO team – Walton, Richards; Astrowise Groningen
- Hardware architecture and implementation
 - Centre for scientific computing (Cambridge),
 - Oxford e-Science centre
 - TDP - Kembal

Data from the correlator

Data rate depends on the experiment $f = 1000$ MHz.

Minimum data rate for dishes

- 0.8 square degrees, 2500 15-m dishes out to 200 km baseline $\Delta f = 500$ MHz

$$\frac{R}{TSamples/s} = 6 \left(\frac{\Delta f}{500MHz} \right) \left(\frac{B}{200km} \right)^2 \left(\frac{D}{15m} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{N_d}{2500} \right)^2 \left(\frac{N_b}{1} \right)$$

High data rate for Aperture Array

- 75 square degrees, 250 56-m stations out to 200 km baseline $\Delta f = 500$ MHz

$$\frac{R}{TSamples/s} = 6 \left(\frac{\Delta f}{500MHz} \right) \left(\frac{B}{200km} \right)^2 \left(\frac{D}{56m} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{N_d}{250} \right)^2 \left(\frac{N_b}{1500} \right)$$

>24 PB temporary buffer required

Processing

For each sample


Subtract N_{cs} confusing
bright sources from
global sky model DB

Grid sample allowing for
sky curvature

Repeat
 N_{loop}

Form image FFT +
deconvolution

Calibrate: solve for
telescope errors



Effective ~20000
ops/sample using
current algorithms

Update global sky
model

Store ~50TB/day of Observations

SKA Overall Structure

