

Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy

# Low frequency interferometry using LOFAR as a case study

### Vanessa Moss On behalf of Science Operations & Support ASTRON

ASTRON is part of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO)

## **Preamble**

AST(RON

 AIM: This lecture aims to give a general introduction to low frequency astronomy, focusing on the issues that you must consider and the differences with observations with other telescopes.

#### • OUTLINE:

- 1. The Low Frequency Array (LOFAR)
- 2. Direction dependent effects I. The beam
- 3. Direction dependent effects II. The atmosphere
- 4. Spectral dependence of calibration

## Preamble



 AIM: This lecture aims to give a general introduction to low frequency astronomy, focusing on the issues that you must consider and the differences with observations with other telescopes.

#### KEY DIFFERENCES:

- 1. Beam-forming instead of tracking
- 2. Effects of a wide **field-of-view**
- 3. Not being able to ignore the **ionosphere**
- 4. An array of thousands of elements (cheaply)





# **1. The Low Frequency Array**

# **The Low Frequency Array - Key Facts**

- The International LOFAR Telescope (ILT) has stations in the Netherlands, France, Germany, Ireland, Poland, Sweden and UK (~€50M construction + running costs)
- Operating frequency is 10 -- 250 MHz
- 1 beam with up to 96 MHz total bandwidth, split into 488 sub-bands with up to 256 Channels (8-bit mode)
- <488 beams on the sky with ~0.2 MHz bandwidth</p>
- 1700 -- 7 deg<sup>2</sup> field-of-view (frequency-dependent)
- Low Band Antenna (LBA; Area ~ 75200 m<sup>2</sup>; T<sub>rec</sub> ~ 500 K; 10-90 MHz)
- High Band Antenna (HBA; Area ~ 57000 m<sup>2</sup>; T<sub>rec</sub> ~ 160 K; 110-240 MHz)
- Correlated with COBALT, based in Groningen







# Low Band Antenna (LBA)

- LBA antennas: Cap containing the low noise amplifiers (LNAs), copper wires receive two orthogonal *linear* polarisations (XX and YY), ground plate
- Low cost, high durability (15 year operation), whole sky coverage



The response curve: There is a peak close to the resonance frequency (58 MHz)
 dipole arms are 1.38 m long

Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# High Band Antenna (HBA)

- HBA antennas: Each tile consists of 4 x 4 dual *linear* polarisation aluminium dipoles, housed in a polystyrene structure, covered by polypropylene sheets
- Dipoles are combined to form a single "tile beam"



 The response curve: There is a smoother response over the main HBA observing band, compared with the LBA response curve

Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

## **Stations**

AST(RON



- Three types: Core (24), Remote (14) and International (13 so far)
- Different beam shapes
- Different sensitivities

} 48/96 LBA dipoles used for Core + Remote stations

Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# Field-of-View (FWHM vs. frequency)

#### LOFAR features an unprecedented field-of-view:

FWHM [rad] = 
$$\alpha \frac{\lambda}{D}$$

 Where α depends on the tapering used at the station level:

FoV = 
$$\pi \left(\frac{\text{FWHM}}{2}\right)^2$$



# **Central cabinets**

- Receiver Control Units (RCU): Input antenna voltages are converted to baseband frequencies, amplified, filtered and digitised
- Receive signals linearly across 40 dB range important for removing RFI signals
- Sampling clocks at 200 MHz or 160 MHz (flexible selection of frequency bands)
- Remote Station Processing (RSP): Separate the signal into 512 sub-bands of 156 or 195 kHz width (clock dependent)
- Carries out phase-rotation based beam-forming by multiplying with a set of complex weights that correspond to the geometrical delay for pointing



## **Central cabinets**





# **Beam-forming**



- Unlike standard telescopes, phased arrays like LOFAR have no moving parts
- Pointing is achieved by combining the beams from each individual element (antenna or tile), at the station level, using different complex weights
- Combine many stations to form a tied array
- LOFAR: <488 beams can be formed, increasing survey speed, efficiency, calibration.</p>



# 6 station superterp (300 m)



## **Interactive LOFAR map**

# AST(RON



# http://astron.nl/lofartools/lofarmap.html

Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# The Superterp (6 stations)



# **Core stations (24)**





# The Core Array (24 stations)



## **Remote stations (14)**





# The Dutch Array (LOFAR-NL 38)



# **International Stations (13!)**

# AST(RON



Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# A Pan-European Array (ILT 51)



# A Pan-European Array (ILT 51)



# **Baseline lengths**



# **Baseline lengths**



# **UV coverage and angular resolution**



100

 $10^{-1}$ 

50

AST(RON

FWHM [rad] =  $\alpha \frac{\lambda}{D}$ 

150

Frequency (MHz)

200

where α depends on the data weighting of the visibilities (e.g., 0.8 for uniform weighting).



250

# **LOFAR VLBI imaging of 3C196**



- LBA image of 3C196 with MERLIN 408 MHz contours overlaid
- **1.5 arcsec beam** Olaf Wucknitz
  - Vanessa Moss ERIS 2017 Low Frequency Interferometry

- HBA image of 3C196 resolves double structure at 171 MHz
- 0.25 arcsec beam

Ger de Bruyn

26

# **Radio frequency interference**

- Europe is a highly populated area lots of radio frequency interference!
- Most LOFAR stations are very much surrounded by inhabited areas
- LOFAR mitigates RFI by
  - i) having a small time and frequency resolution (1s; 3 kHz)

ii) having 40 dB range in receiver units to stop saturation to other channels
iii) having analogue filters to remove signals at < 30 MHz, 80--110 MHz</li>
iv) antennas close to the ground (nearby radio horizon)



R ligitater Mezeukass

# **Radio frequency interference**



#### (Offringa et al. 2012, 2013)



- RFI occupancy is relatively low and day / night results are consistent.
  - LBA: 1.8%
  - HBA: 3.2%

Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# All-sky imaging with the LBA

# AST(RON

# DE603: 20th July 2017



RFI visible in north-west

#### DE605: 7th September 2017



#### RFI visible in east

# **Near-field imaging of RFI: DE603**





# **Near-field imaging of RFI: DE605**





# **Solar interference**

# AST(RON

 The Sun is one of the key contributors to radio frequency interference, if it happens to be in an active phase

 Very bright, low-frequency solar RFI is seen alongside Type II/III solar bursts, at varying levels of intensity

 The wide-field nature of LOFAR observing means that an active Sun can have strong effects on the data





# Solar interference: PL611, 17/08/17







# 2. Direction dependent effects I - The beam

# Wide field imaging is fun!



#### Imaging wide-fields is useful for,

- 1) Efficient all-sky survey
- 2) Looking for rare objects

Wide-fields introduce many issues for a good calibration:

- 1) Variable beam power as a function of position results in a more complicated amplitude calibration.
- 2) The phase solutions in one direction cannot be applied to another.
- 3) Sky model is more complicated (many sources)

```
An error in your model
can be absorbed in the
calibration
\vec{V}_{ij} = J_{ij} \vec{V}_{ij}^{\text{IDEAL}}
```

#### LLOFASTS NOTES STAND & Deshige whether and



## **Beam-forming**







Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# **Beam-forming: pulsar example**



# **Example of the LOFAR LBA beam**



Michiel Brentjens

# **Example of the LOFAR HBA beam**



Michiel Brentjens

# **Example of LOFAR beam combined**



Michiel Brentjens

Vanessa Moss - ERIS 2017 - Low Frequency Interferometry

# **Bright off-axis sources**



Cygnus A and Cas A are ~20000 Jy at 60 MHz.

Even far from your target, they can dominate the visibility function (side-lobes at 1/15 to 1/1000).

Solution, phase-shift to the their locations, self-calibrate using good models and subtract them from the target visibility data

This is called "demixing" in LOFAR





# The beam is not constant with time



AST(RON

nase and Amplitude

# The beam is not constant with time



**Above**: visibilities over time to CS002HBA0 at 120 MHz, showing the drift in amplitude over time (even here for a relatively short calibrator observation)

# **Key LOFAR sources**



# **Key LOFAR sources: Calibrators**



## **Key LOFAR sources: A-Team**







# **3. Direction dependent effects II - The atmosphere**

# The ionosphere



# The ionosphere



Ger de Bruyn

# **Direction dependent calibration**



Alternatively, calibrate in one direction at a time and remove the troublesome sources (called peeling)

Elizabeth Mahony



# **Direction dependent calibration**



Alternatively, calibrate in one direction at a time and remove the troublesome sources (called peeling)



Wendy Williams



# **Direction dependent calibration**



Alternatively, calibrate in one direction at a time and remove the troublesome sources (called peeling)



Wendy Williams





#### http://astron.nl/~moss/lofar/LOFARQuickStartGuide-v1.1.pdf





- 1. The Low Frequency Array will transform our view of the low frequency Universe (with a frequency coverage and resolution that surpasses even the SKA, as proposed)
- 2. Direction dependent effects will limit the quality of wide-field imaging due to time variable beam patterns, time variable ionosphere and our limited knowledge of the sky model
- 3. New advanced calibration techniques are being tested and already show promise in reaching the thermal noise in the images, but careful study of the effects of direction dependent calibration need to be better understood
- 4. Spectral variation in the sky model must also be taken into account due to the large bandwidths of the new telescope systems (c.f. John/Andre's talk!)

## Acknowledgments





John McKean, for making available his 2015 ERIS lecture on LOFAR Michiel Brentjens, for LBA sky/near-field imaging and LOFAR technical details Science Operations & Support, for explanation of various details of LOFAR