Absorption studies of high redshift galaxies

Jayaram N Chengalur NCRA/TIFR

Briggs, de Bruyn, Vermuelen A&A 373, 113 (2001)



Mapping a $z \sim 0.437$ absorber



(Kanekar & Chengalur, some day)

HI absorption towards DLAs

- The 21cm absorber towards 3C196 was discovered by Brown & Mitchel (1983) via a blind search using the NRAO 300ft dish.
 - Most subsequent sources of 21cm absorption have been found by searching for absorption towards known Damped Lyman- α Absorbers (DLAs)
- At an HI column density of $\sim 2~\times 10^{20}$ the bulk of the gas is neutral
 - The optical depth in Ly- α for gas with such high column densities is very high, even in the Lorenzian wings of the lines
- Such gas produces a wide Ly- α absorption, which can be easily detected using ground based optical spectra
 - For z \geq 1.6 (i.e. at redshifts where the Ly- α line shifts into the optical band)



Radio Observations and the Spin Temperature

- The HI column density can be estimated from the width of the Ly- α line
- If the background quasar is radio loud, then τ_{21} , the HI 21cm optical depth can also be determined
- $N_{HI} = 1.823 \times 10^{18} \frac{T_s}{f} \int \tau_{21}(v) \, dv$
 - Where f is the covering factor and T_s is the "spin temperature"



The Spin Temperature

- In the general case of an inhomogeneous multi-phase absorber T_S is the column density weighted harmonic mean of the spin temperatures of each phase
 True for both optically thick and optically thin absorbers
- For e.g
 - 50% CNM (80K) 50 % WNM (6000K) $T_s \approx 158$ K
 - 10% CNM (80K) 90 % WNM (6000K) $T_s \approx 715 \text{ K}$
- T_s carries information on the distribution of gas in different phases

Kanekar et al. ApJL (2011)

T_s in the Milky Way

• Low values of T_S (\approx 250K) are typical of lines of sight with N_{HI} $\geq 10^{20}$

- Indicative of a threshold for the formation of the CNM
 - Related to providing adequate self shielding to UV radiation?



T_s in DLAS

- T_s estimates are now available for 37 DLAs
 - DLAs at z > 2 typically have T_s upper limits ≈ 1000 K
- 4.2σ evidence for a redshift evolution of T_s in DLAs
 - T_s in DLAs and the galaxies differ at a 6σ significance



T_s and Metallicity



- Metallicity ([Z/H]) estimates are available for 29 DLAs for which T_s has been measured
 - Non parametric Kendall-tau test indicates a 3.5 significance for the anti-correlation between T_s and [Z/H]

Implications of high Ts for the DLA host galaxies

- In two phase models one expects a smaller CNM fraction at low pressures and low metallicities
- High T_s (i.e. low CNM fraction) in high redshift DLAs is consistent with models in which the hosts are small, metal poor galaxies

(Wolfire et al. 1995, ApJ)



Chengalur & Kanekar 1999, MNRAS

Do the fundamental constants vary?

Chengalur, de Bruyn, Narasimha A&A (1999)

OH Absorption at $z \sim 0.89$

- HI and OH detected in absorption in the gravitational lens at z ~ 0.89 towards PKS 1830-21
- First detection of OH at cosmological distances
 - Both main lines seen in absorption
- HI and OH absorption was used to construct a kinematical model of the galaxy

L82

J.N. Chengalur et al.: HI and



Fundamental Constants

- Theories such as GR, the Standard Model etc. have some free parameters, e.g.
 - c,ħ,G, etc.
- The values of these parameters ("fundamental constants") are determined from observations
- It is assumed that the values of these constants do not vary with time
- A check for the constancy of these models is hence a check of the fundamental correctness of the theory
 - Similar to tests for violation of the equivalence principle etc.

Dimensionless Constants

- Tests generally involve dimensionless constants
- Units themselves are defined in terms of these constants
 working with dimensionless combinations avoids confusion
- e.g.

 $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$

- measure of the "strength" of electromagnetic interaction
- Frequency atomic spectral lines depends on the value of lpha
- $\mu = m_e/m_p$
- Frequency of rotational transitions in molecules depends on μ

Astrophysical methods

- Compare the observed line frequency to the expected one
 - Difference implies variation in the value of the fundamental constant
- Observed line frequency depends on the (unknown!) redshift
- One needs to observe at least two lines
 - One to determine the redshift
 - the other to measure any possible change.
 - Lines have to have different dependence on the fundamental constants
- Narrow absorption lines from cold gas are best suited for precise frequency (redshift) measurements.



Optical Spectral Lines

- The fractional separation between the alkali doublet (e.g. Si IV, MgII) lines $\Delta\lambda/\lambda^\sim\,\alpha^2$

(e.g. Murphy et al. MNRAS, 327, 1237, 2001)

- (Many Multiplet) Relativistic first order corrections lead to different fine structure transitions in different species having different dependencies on α
 (Dzuba et al. 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett.)
 - The MM method gives lower statistical errors, but larger systematic ones, e.g.
 - calibration errors on different echelle orders,
 - kinematical velocity shifts between species
 - Doppler shift corresponding to $\Delta V \sim 10$ km/s = $\Delta z/z \sim 10^{-5}$
 - Isotopic abundance variations

1

٠

OH 18cm radio lines

TATAN

119µm

J = 3/2

1720

1667

1612 1665

F=2

F=1

F=2

F=1

- OH emits 4 spectral lines with $\lambda \sim 18$ cm
 - lines arise from a combination of Λ doubling and hyperfine interaction
 - Line frequencies hence depend on α , μ , g_p

Constraints from OH measurements

- Comparison of the redshifts of the OH main $(\Delta F=0)$ lines with redshifts of the HI 21cm (hyperfine) transition and CO mm (rotational) transitions allows one to simultaneously constrain $\Delta \alpha / \alpha$ and $\Delta \mu / \mu$ and $\Delta g_p/g_p$
- If one assumes that $\Delta g_p/g_p$ is small (e.g. Langacker et al. 2002) then one gets
 - $-\Delta \alpha / \alpha = -5.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$
 - $\Delta \mu / \mu = 4.2 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$
- Results are subject to possibility of kinematical shifts between HI, OH and CO absorbing gas.



Chengalur & Kanekar PRL 91, 241302 (2003)

Conjugate OH lines

F. [Jy]

- The OH 'satellite' (ΔF=±1) lines are sometimes "conjugate" i.e. have same spectral shape, but opposite signs
 - Consequence of selection rule driven "competitive pumping"



van Langevelde et al. (1995)

v_{hel} [km/s]

Fundamental Constant Variation from Conjugate OH line

- Since line shape is the same, non parametric, cross correlation techniques can be used to determine spectral shifts
 - High level of independence from systematic effects (kinematic Doppler shifts, isotopic
 - variations, calibration errors...)
 - Can derive significant constraints from a *single* object





1720 absorption.

(Elitzur 1976, ApJ;

van Langevelde et al. 1995, ApJL)

Conjugate OH lines from Centaurus A

van Langevelde et al. (1995)



Cross correlation of Centaurus A (z \sim 0) lines gives expected null result ($\Delta V = 50 \pm 110$ m/s)

Kanekar, Chengalur & Ghosh PRL 93, 051302, (2004)

Conjugate OH lines at cosmological distances

- First detection of conjugate lines at cosmological distances was for PKS1413+135, (z= 0.247)
- Data constrain $G \equiv g_p [\alpha^2 \mu]^{1.849}$
- Original data leads to $\Delta G/G = 2.2 \pm 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$



Deep Arecibo observations of the satellite lines

- 125-hour Arecibo integration between 2010 and 2012.
 - Velocity resolution ~ 90 m/s.
- Double position-switching for bandpass calibration
 - rms decreases as \sqrt{t}
- Spectral dynamic range ~ 3000 to 1 per 180 m/s channel.
 - Among the most sensitive radio spectra ever obtained!



Kanekar, Chengalur, Ghosh (2013)

A DEEP ARECIBO INTEGRATION ON 1413+135



CURRENT RESULTS

- New Arecibo result: Velocity offset = (-20 ± 59) m/s
 - $[\Delta G/G] = (-0.9 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-6}$ $G \equiv [\alpha^2 \mu]^{1.85}$

- Final weighted average:
 - $[\Delta \alpha / \alpha] = (-9.9 \pm 6.6) \times 10^{-7}$ • If $[\Delta \mu/\mu] = 0$
 - If $\left[\Delta \alpha / \alpha\right] = 0$
- $[\Delta \mu / \mu] = (-1.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$

Thank you

Radio Techniques

- HI-21cm and optical resonance lines
 - $X \equiv g_p[\alpha^2/\mu]$ (Wolfe et al. 1976, Phys. Rev. Lett.)
- OH-18cm and HI 21cm lines
 - $X \equiv g_p[\alpha^2/\mu]^{1.57}$ (Chengalur & Kanekar2003, Phys. Rev. Lett.)
- Inversion and rotational lines:
 - $\mu^{3.46}$ (Flambaum & Kozlov 2007, Phys. Rev. Lett.)
- "Conjugate" satellite OH-18cm lines
 - $F \equiv g_p [\alpha^2/\mu]^{1.85}$ (Kanekar et al. 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett.)

A DEEP ARECIBO INTEGRATION ON 1413+135 2.2 Cross-correlation ×10³ 861.2 2.17 2.16 -0.50.5 Velocity offset • The cross-correlation peaks at an offset of (-20 ± 59) m/s.

• Error on the offset estimated via a Monte Carlo analysis.

Kanekar et. al. ApJ (2010)

Constraints from deeper WSRT and Arecibo data

- $(\Delta G/G) = (-1.18 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-5}$ - G = g_p ($\mu \alpha^2$)^{1.85}
- tentative evidence (2.6 σ) for a smaller value of α, μ, and/or g_p at z ~ 0.247,

lookback time of ~2.9 Gyr.

If we assume that the dominant change is in α , this implies $(\Delta \alpha / \alpha) = (-3.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}$.

