



Motivation

How we observe the sky determines the information available for science
Zenith drift versus tracked scans have different benefits and costs
How does observing strategy impact EoR power spectrum estimation?



Observing with phased arrays





Beam shape and sensitivity independent of pointing
Mechanical steering

 Beam shape and sensitivity dependent on pointing
Zenith sensitivity optimal
Electronic steering

Fixed beamformer settings; zenith sensitivity highest



Observing modes





Drift 'n' shift scan: I I pointings over 54° (~30 mins per pointing)



$$P(\vec{u})\delta_{uu'} = \left\langle S^{\dagger}(\vec{u})S(\vec{u'}) \right\rangle = \left\langle \tilde{T}^{\dagger}(\vec{u})\tilde{T}(\vec{u'}) \right\rangle$$

> Radiometric noise: reduced effectively by coherent addition of information (summing visibilities)

Visibility:
$$V(\vec{u}) = B_w(\vec{u}) * S(\vec{u}) + N$$
$$= \sum_{\vec{u}'} B_w(u - u')S(\vec{u}') + N.$$

Sky signal: samples of a

Gaussian random field



Coherence versus incoherence



A tracked scan provides a single sample of the sky power, but repeated observation of the same sky (coherent addition - phase intact).

$$B_{tr}(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{FT}(B(\vec{l})) = \int B(\vec{l}) \exp\left(-2\pi i \vec{u} \cdot \vec{l}\right).$$

21cm signal can be described as a Gaussian random field: **each patch of sky yields a sample of this random field**



Coherence versus incoherence

Incoherent samples of the sky signal



 $B_z(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{FT}(B(\vec{l} - \Delta \vec{\theta})) = \int B(\vec{l} - \Delta \vec{\theta}) \exp\left(-2\pi i \vec{u} \cdot \vec{l}\right)$



u-dependent phase shift

A zenith drift scan provides multiple samples of the sky power, but limited mutual sky information (incoherent addition - phase lost).



Coherence versus incoherence



Beam footprint on *uv* plane

B_w[†]B_w encodes the correlation between uv cells and the amount of information obtained about signal in each uv cell



	Radiometric Noise	Sample variance
Coherent addition	Reduces ~1/N	Unchanged
Incoherent addition	Reduces I/√N	Reduces I/√N



Observing strategy pros and cons

	Zenith drift	Drift 'n' shift
Coherence	<	~
Sensitivity (zenith=1)		<
Power samples	>	~

Ratio of radiometric noise to sample variance determines balance of coherent and incoherent combination.



Building a power spectrum

I. Form sky signal

$$\vec{S}(\vec{u}_{\alpha}) = (B_w^{\dagger} B_w)^{-1} B_w^{\dagger} \vec{V}$$

I b. Fourier transform along line-of-sight (frequency)

$$\vec{S}(\vec{k}) = F(B_w^{\dagger}B_w)^{-1}B_w^{\dagger}\vec{V}$$

2. Form power sample (coherent)

$$P(\vec{u_i}) = S^{\dagger}(\vec{u_i})S(\vec{u_j})V_u$$

3. Form ML binned power (incoherent)

$$P(\vec{k}) = \frac{\sum_{\vec{u_i} \in k} N(\vec{u_i}) P(\vec{u_i})}{\sum_{\vec{u_i} \in k} N(\vec{u_i})},$$

ViscouriePropagate power spectrum
uncertaintiesCoherent
powerRadiometric
$$C_p = C_s^{\dagger}C_sV_u^2$$
 noise
 $= (\sigma^2 V_u (B^{\dagger}B)_{ij} + P)^{\dagger} (\sigma^2 V_u (B^{\dagger}B)_{ij}^{-1} + P).$

$$\left(B_w^{\dagger}B_w\right)^{-1}$$

encodes coherence of measurements and information in data about an angular mode

$$V_u = \int d^3 \vec{u} |B(\vec{u})|^2$$

(tracked mode with a single sample of sky)

 $V_u = \int d^3 \vec{u} |B(\vec{u}) \operatorname{sinc}(2\pi \vec{\theta}_{\max} \cdot \vec{u})|^2 \qquad 2\theta_{\max} \text{ is angular coverage of drift}$

(drift mode with > I samples of sky: beam is tapered by sinc function --> larger physical sky volume)



- •900 hour observation
- •HA range: [-1.8, 1.8]
- I l beamformer positions
- •w-terms, direction-dependent beams included
- •Frequency: I 50 MHz
- •BW:8 MHz
- • $\Delta u = 0.5$ ($\Delta u = 2$ typical for "independence")
- •T_{sys} = 440K
- • $u_{max} = 80 (I = 500, log_{10} k_{\perp} = -1.3)$

•Coarse binning in $k_{\perp}, k_{||}$



2D signal power spectrum





2D power spectrum uncertainty: drift 'n' shift





2D power spectrum uncertainty: drift



CAASTRO ARC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE FOR ALL-SKY ASTROPHYSICS 2D power spectrum uncertainty ratio: drift / drift 'n' shift





ID spherically-averaged "power" Dimensionless (mK)





Preliminary conclusions

 An understanding of the instrument beam, and baseline distribution yields the balance of coherent and incoherent information
Optimal observational strategies can be computed for a particular instrument
Zenith drift scans competitive with tracked scans for thermal-noise limited observations.



MWA **Test** Power Spectrum ML Estimate



sinc²($\alpha k_{\parallel}/k_{\perp}$) structure to wedge: $\alpha \propto 1/\ell_{max}$ (Trott+ 12, Vedantham+ 11, Datta+ 10...)

Wedge feature: signature of smooth-spectrum foregrounds in PS space

Units approximately log K² Mpc³

♦8 MHz bandwidth (167 - 175 MHz)

♦224s data, XX pol

Simple calibration, no source subtraction, no CLEANing

✦Simple frequency DFT

No imaging -> produced directly from visibility data



Next-generation instruments Considerations for SKA-Low, HERA...

Excellent instantaneous uv coverage --> high coherence Small FOV yields a broad Fourier beam --> large spectral leakage High sensitivity reduces radiometric noise component --> sample variance fraction of noise budget is larger Multiple independent FOV versus drift scanning --> drifts sample small k information



Observing modes



$$B_{tr}(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{FT}(B(\vec{l})) = \int B(\vec{l}) \exp\left(-2\pi i \vec{u} \cdot \vec{l}\right).$$

$$B_z(\vec{u}) = \mathcal{FT}(B(\vec{l} - \Delta\vec{\theta})) = \int B(\vec{l} - \Delta\vec{\theta}) \exp\left(-2\pi i \vec{u} \cdot \vec{l}\right) = \exp\left(-2\pi i \vec{u} \cdot \Delta\vec{\theta}\right) B_{tr}(\vec{u}),$$



Propagate power spectrum uncertainties

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \mathsf{Visibilities} & S(\vec{u}) = \left(B_w^{\dagger}B_w\right)^{-1}B_w^{\dagger}V(\vec{u}') \\ \hline \mathsf{Sky modes} & C_s \equiv \left\langle S(\vec{u_i})S^{\dagger}(\vec{u_j}) \right\rangle \\ \hline \mathsf{Coherent} & C_p V_u^2 &= C_s^{\dagger}C_s & \mathsf{Calicmetric} \\ \mathsf{power} & \mathsf{for } \mathbf{C}_p V_u^2 &= C_s^{\dagger}C_s & \mathsf{for } \mathbf{C}_p \mathbf{C}_p^{\dagger} \mathbf{C}_p \mathbf{C}_p^{\dagger} & \mathsf{for } \mathbf{C}_p \mathbf{C}_p^{\dagger} \mathbf{C}_p \mathbf{C}$$