A GBT Survey of the HALOGAS Galaxies: Revealing the full extent of HI around spirals

Nickolas Pingel West Virginia University

with D.J. Pisano (WVU) and George Heald (ASTRON)





HI content vs. declining SFR



Cold/Hot Mode Accretion (C/HMA)

- simulations predict gas is accreted onto galaxies in a bimodal process (Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Nelson et al. 2013; Keres et al. 2005, 2009)
- Cold mode should be evident in galaxies with M_{halo} ≤ 10^{11.4} M_☉ and n_{gal} ≤ 1 h⁻³ Mpc⁻³ (Kereš et al. 2005).



Ovcirk, Pichon & Teyssier (2008)

Observational Signatures of CMA

- Observational evidence is extremely limited!
 - Ribaudo et al. (2011) argue a detection in absorption
 - Require strong background sources
 - No info on extended spatial distribution
 - Large HI structures either related to accretion or tidal interactions around nearby galaxies
- high ionization fraction at log(N_{HI}) ≤ 19.0 cm⁻².
 - gas can cool enough to form HI clouds within the inner-most regions of the halo (Joung et al. 2012)
 - should be detectable at Log(N_{HI})~18 cm⁻²

HALOGAS Sample

- Representative sample of spiral galaxies
 - 24 total Barred and unbarred spirals
 - Systemic velocities > 100 km/s to avoid MW HI signal
 - Sample spans wide range of SFRs, warps/ lopsidedness, HI Mass, Stellar Mass, M_{dyn}, environment etc...
- Goals for complete HI census using the GBT
 - Build up large number statistics pertaining to galaxy properties
 - Look for signatures of direct accretion of cold gas from the IGM
 - Have info at ALL angular scales (short spacing correction).

HALOGAS Sample (Heald et al. 2011)



HALOGAS Sample (Heald et al. 2011)



Summary of GBT Observations

- Minimum of 10 hours per source.
- Mapped in basketweave fashion over 4+ deg² area
- Used map edges as 'off' position.
- 3σ N_{HI} over a 20 km/s line of about 2x10¹⁸ cm⁻².



Comparing VLA/WSRT (NGC925)

)ec (J2000)

- VLA-THINGS smoothed to WSRT resolution (38"x33").
- WSRT data are 5x more sensitive
- Extended structure is ~26 kpc in extent.



Convolved WSRT Maps

- Use model beam map to account for "stray" radiation coming into the near sidelobes.
- theoretical calculation of the GBT beam Srikanth (1993).



KEY: GBT data: thick 7e17 cm⁻² (5σ) & thin contours WSRT data: thin (1e19 cm⁻² & thick (set at 7e17 cm⁻²; same 5σ level as GBT!) red contours

-We detect more flux overall in the GBT maps and out to larger extents.



<u>KEY:</u> GBT data: thick 4.8e17 cm⁻² (5σ) & thin contours WSRT data: thin (1e19 cm⁻² & thick (4.8e17 cm⁻²) red contours

- We again see the GBT detects HI out to larger extents.



What can the residual maps show us? 507 km/s NGC891 523 km/s



What can the residual maps show us? **NGC891**

597 km/s

613 km/s



What can the residual maps tell us?

- 2 and 3σ signal traces filament/ clouds spectrally & spatially
- Morphology is similar to what cold flows would take in emission.



Relating HI to Intrinsic Galaxy Properties

- These GBT observations reveal extended, diffuse HI reservoirs around these sources.
- Even small amounts of excess HI likely trace abundant ionized hydrogen around individual galaxies.
- What are the possible origins of the HI detected by the GBT?
 - Tidal interactions with companion
 - Accretion from the IGM
 - Outflows

Relating HI to Intrinsic Galaxy Properties



Relating HI to Intrinsic Galaxy Properties



Results so far (4/24 Sources)

- GBT observations reveal extended, diffuse HI reservoirs
- Signal in residual maps trace interesting HI features
- on average, the GBT detects ~10% more HI than the WSRT



Focal L-band Array for the GBT (FLAG)

- Backend for cryogenic phased array feed (PAF)
- Increase survey speeds by a factor of 3-5 by forming multiple beams on the sky.
- Currently, WVU is working alongside NRAO and BYU to have the PAF and backend to be ready in 2016.



Frequency (MHz)

+1.42e3

- On the other hand, we can also confirm <u>lack</u> of extraplanar HI (Zschaechner et al. 2012).
- Combining WSRT +GBT via the Fourier domain yields (small) enhancements of noisy features
- coincident with signal in residual map



Cold*/Hot Mode Accretion

- Morphology and accretion rate differ between SPH vs. AMR simulations (Nelson et al. 2013)
- Differences in morphology due to varying angular momentum reservoir.
- Differences in accretion rate arise from numerical artifacts in the SPH codes, and more efficient cooling in AMR simulations.
- Observational constrains are needed as a benchmark for simulations.



Nelson et al. (2013)