## Investigating diffuse radio emission with LOFAR: The complex merging galaxy cluster Abell 2069

TILS

**Alexander Drabent** 

(Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg)

Matthias Hoeft, Annalisa Bonafede, Roberto F. Pizzo,

Reinout J. van Weeren and Marcus Brüggen

on behalf of the LOFAR surveys galaxy cluster group

## Radio halo emission in galaxy clusters

- > steep spectrum:  $\alpha \leq -1$  (can be bent)
- centered at the galaxy cluster
- regular, smooth shape
- unpolarized
- found in poor and rich clusters

	giant halo	mini-halo
occurrence	merging clusters	"cool-core" clusters
size scale	$\gtrsim$ 1 Mpc	$\lesssim$ 500 kpc
surface brightness	similar	wide range

low surface brightness

no optical counterparts



Origin of radio halos			
	Primary models	Secondary models	
origin of r <b>CRe</b>	<b>reacceleration</b> by merger-driven turbulence	in-situ production by proton-proton collisions	
halo spectrum	high frequency cutoff	α <b>≥</b> − 1.5	
observables	connection to recent mergers	virtually visible in all clusters	

## Origin of radio halos – mini-halos?

giant halos observed in merging galaxy clusters

mini-halos observed in cool-core clusters

- $\rightarrow$  cluster's cool-core <u>not</u> disrupted (Ascasibar and Markevitch 2006)
  - off-axis, minor, subcluster merger (Churazov et al. 2003, Fujita et al. 2004)
  - displace cool core from DM peak
  - gas-sloshing → turbulence → cold fronts (ZuHone et al. 2013)
  - hadronic origin of rCRe (Pfrommer and Enßlin 2004, ZuHone et al. 2014)



gas-sloshing can cause cold-fronts

3rd June, 2015

## Cluster details: Abell 2069



## Diffuse radio emission in Abell 2069



## **Recovery of diffuse emission in Abell 2069**

WSRT: 3 × 12 h @ 346 MHz (high sensitivity for diffuse emission)
GMRT: 4.8 h @ 322 MHz (to model and subtract compact sources)



## **Recovery of diffuse emission in Abell 2069**

WSRT: 3 × 12 h @ 346 MHz (high sensitivity for diffuse emission)GMRT: 4.8 h @ 322 MHz (to model and subtract compact sources)



## **Abell 2069**

#### possible scenarios for B



- 1) ongoing merger between A and B
  - compression of ICM  $\rightarrow$  **hot gas**
  - does A induce turbulence in B?
- 2) minor merger in B
   cold front → gas-sloshing?
- fossil radio plasma of a dying radio galaxy in B
  - need spectral information
     sensitivity at different
     resolution scales to better
     constrain diffuse emission in B



DEC (J2000)

### LOFAR-observation of Abell 2069

23 Core Stations and 14 Remote Stations
 Total observation time: 10 hours
 Frequency band: 120-180 MHz

## Calibration procedure



## Calibration procedure

Imaging pipeline

selfcal.py 💭

Skymodel Calibrated target target field is flux and phase calibrated
 only direction-independent



## FOUGARS

direction-dependent calibration and subtraction

- field sources:

using compact source model from highest resolution image  $(3" \times 4")$ 

- sources on top of diffuse emission (in the center)

using lower resolution model (45" × 35")

- final imaging with awimager at desired resolution
- stack images (20 subbands each)

LOFAR HBA radio map of Abell 2069 at 166 MHz



J2000 Right Ascension

#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 106" × 103" r.m.s.: 1.5 mJy/beam

only weak ionospheric disturbances

minor A-team contribution

LOFAR HBA radio map of Abell 2069 at 166 MHz



J2000 Right Ascension

#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 106" × 103" r.m.s.: 1.5 mJy/beam

only weak ionospheric disturbances

minor A-team contribution

bright sources

LOFAR HBA radio map of Abell 2069 at 166 MHz



J2000 Right Ascension

100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 106" × 103" r.m.s.: 1.5 mJy/beam

only weak ionospheric disturbances

minor A-team contribution

interesting fields



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 106" × 103" r.m.s.: 1.5 mJy/beam



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 106" × 103" r.m.s.: 1.5 mJy/beam



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 45" × 35" r.m.s.: 760 μJy/beam



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 22" × 18" r.m.s.: 380 μJy/beam



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 22" × 18" r.m.s.: 380 μJy/beam

identification of compact sources













beam: 5" × 3" r.m.s.: 480 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 6" × 6" r.m.s.: 550 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 10" × 9" r.m.s.: 550 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 10" × 9" r.m.s.: 550 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

recovered flux density: 0 mJy



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 14" × 12" r.m.s.: 530 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

recovered flux density: ~17 mJy



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 19" × 17" r.m.s.: 520 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

recovered flux density: ~35 mJy



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 25" × 22" r.m.s.: 500 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

recovered flux density: ~53 mJy



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 35" × 29" r.m.s.: 590 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

recovered flux density: ~63 mJy



100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 47" × 37" r.m.s.: 780 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

recovered flux density: ~73 mJy



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 47" × 37" r.m.s.: 780 μJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

enhanced background signal

recovered flux density: ~73 mJy



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 79" × 78" r.m.s.: 1.1 mJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

enhanced background signal

recovered flux density: ~74 mJy



#### 100/370 subbands used (27%)

beam: 110" × 105" r.m.s.: 1.4 mJy/beam

sources subtracted beam applied

enhanced background signal

recovered flux density: ~71 mJy

beam: 19" × 17" recovered flux density: ~35 mJy



half of the flux density is concentrated at the southern boundary

#### but still:

**3)** fossil radio plasma of a dying radio galaxy

(contours: [1.5, 2.1, 3.0, 4.2] mJy/beam, r.m.s.: 0.5 mJy/beam ) (background – SDSS DR12 r-band image)



beam: 19" × 17" recovered flux density: ~35 mJy

× cluster members

 no obvious correlation to cluster galaxies
 half of the flux density is concentrated at the southern boundary

# 2) fossil radio plasma distributed within cluster volume cold front → due to gas sloshing?

beam: 47" × 37" recovered flux density: ~73 mJy

(contours: [2.3, 3.3 , 4.7, 6.6, 9.4, 13.2] mJy/beam, r.m.s.: 0.8 mJy/beam ) (colorscale – X-ray Chandra 0.5 – 7 kev)



no obvious correlation to cluster galaxies

half of the flux density is concentrated at the southern boundary

more extended emission confined within subcluster's boundaries



(ICM temperature map from X-ray data by Chandra 0.5 - 7 keV)



beam: 110" × 105"

no obvious correlation to cluster galaxies

half of the flux density is concentrated at the southern boundary

 more extended emission confined within subcluster's boundaries
 indication for large scale extended

emission tracing hot gas between **A** and **B** 



## LOFAR HBA vs. WSRT – Abell 2069

(contours:  $3\sigma_{r.m.s.}$  beam: 106" × 103", r.m.s.: 1.4 mJy/beam ) (colorscale – X-ray Chandra 0.5 – 7 kev) (contours:  $3\sigma_{r.m.s.}$  beam: 182" × 91", r.m.s.: 1.0 mJy/beam ) (colorscale – X-ray Chandra 0.5 – 7 kev)





## Summary: Abell 2069 with LOFAR

#### LOFAR HBA

- ✓ good data quality  $\rightarrow$  basic pipeline already offers decent images
- highly capable of discriminating compact from extended sources

#### Abell 2069

- clear confirmation of radio halo in main component A (morphology also better coincides with X-ray than previously)
- source in companion B is extended and confined within subcluster if halo-like → suggests turbulent reacceleration caused by gas sloshing
- → still: low frequency high resolution spectral index maps are necessary to properly classify this source!

flux density	$90 \pm 14 \text{ mJv}$	~63 mJv	
type	radio halo	uncertain	_

# Thank you for your attention!

#### 3rd June, 2015