# High-resolution wideband observations of 4C19.44 with International LOFAR

Javier Moldón

AST(RON Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy

LOFAR Community Science Workshop Assen, June 3, 2015

- Project collaborators:
  - Dan Harris (Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory)
  - Adam Deller (Astron)
  - Raymond Oonk (Leiden University)
  - Raffaella Morganti (Astron)
  - Leith Godfrey (Astron)
  - Leah Morabito (Leiden University)

Introduction Source Observations

- We aim to undertand the origin of the X-ray emission from quasar jets.
- Two main possibilities:
  - One-zone synchrotron radiation (like in FRI).
  - IC/CMB emission of a single population of electrons.

#### Introduction

- In the IC/CMB model a single population of e<sup>-</sup> produce:
  - radio/optical (synchrotron emission).
  - X-rays (IC with the CMB).
- We require:
  - Jet with bulk Lorentz factor  $\Gamma \sim 10.$
  - Enough low-energy electrons with  $\gamma \sim 100$ .

#### Is there a population of e^ with $\gamma \sim \!\! 100$ present in the jet?

- If so, they will produce synchrotron emission at  $\gamma \sim 1500 (\frac{\nu}{1~{\rm GHz}})^{1/2}$
- $\gamma \sim 100 \rightarrow$  synchrotron emission at 10–30 MHz.
- LOFAR HBA can explore the range  $\gamma \sim 600 1000$ .

## Testing the IC/CMB model

- We can test the model with a source with clear radio and X-ray knots along the jet.
- 4C19.44 is a core dominated quasar at z = 0.72 (7.2 kpc/arcsec).



### Jet acceleration in 4C19.44



- This source has a long jet providing many resolution elements with Chandra's arcsec resolution.
- VLA maps at L, C, and U bands, and 180 ks with Chandra.

- For 6 regions down the jet, we extrapolate the spectrum of each knot to the low radio frequencies which would come from electrons responsible for the IC/CMB X-rays.
- Also shown are LOFAR sensitivities and the electron energy scale along the top axis.



#### Extreme summary of data and calibration

- Observation:
  - HBA observations conducted on May 14, 2014 for 7h.
  - 244 subbands between 115 and 190 MHz on the target.
  - 48 MHz on each 4C19.44 and 3C286.
  - RO pipeline to flag and averaging to 4ch/subband, 4 sec.
- Calibration guidelines:
  - 3C286 to calibrate amplitude and phase of CS and RS.
  - Convert to circular polarization.
  - Concatenate in blocks of 16 subbands (3 MHz).
  - Convert to UVFITS. Fring fitting in AIPS!
  - Self-calibration in CASA of long baselines.
  - Scale amplitudes to match CS, RS calibration.
  - Produce high-resolution and widefield low-resolution images.

#### UV plot of the source



Amp vs. UVdist

• Significant structure up to  ${\sim}1300$  km baselines.

## UV plot of the source



Good uv-coverage thanks to bandwidth between 115 and 188 MHz.

#### Results High-resolution images

## High resolution image of 4C19.44



# 16 subbands high resolution imaging



159 MHz 159 MHz 2000 Declination 19°19'00' 13h57m06s J2000 Right Ascension

• Several knots along the jet and the two hotsposts are clearly detected at 150 MHz.

# 16 subbands high resolution imaging





• Knots are detected also at extreme frequencies: 116 and 188 MHz bands.

# Image fidelity (I)



LOFAR 150 MHz



VLA 4.87 GHz

# Image fidelity (II)



# Image fidelity (II)

- Resolution is  $0.5'' \times 0.3''$ .
- Image rms is 85 μJy/beam (140 μJy/beam at the core).
- Dynamic range is 20 000:1 at 30 arcsec.
- Dynamic range is 10000-15000:1 at the core.
- Considering 20–30% flagged data, the expected thermal noise is  $\sim 55~\mu {\rm Jy/beam}.$
- We obtain a noise  $\sim 50\%$  higher than the thermal noise.



#### Still some diffuse emission



#### Still some diffuse emission



#### Low-resolution images

## UV plot of the source



## "Low"-resolution imaging



#### Widefield low-resolution imaging



## Widefield low-resolution imaging



## Wideband low-resolution imaging





## Wideband low-resolution imaging





#### Spectral information



• The original spectrum has peculiar shape.



Power law without turn-over after correction of IS sensitivity.



Power law without turn-over after correction of IS sensitivity.



• Systematic (beam?) offset. Spectral index requires a +0.8 correction.

J. Moldón

LOFAR Science Meeting 2015





















#### Conclusions & summary

#### Conclusions





• No spectral turnover at low frequencies.

## Conclusions

#### • Preliminary conclusions:

- Compatible with a low-energy population of electrons capable of producing the X-ray emission through IC/CMB.
- Shock acceleration processes require a break in the electron population at  $\gamma \sim 2000$ . The steep spectrum pose a severe challenge to shock acceleration processes along the jet.
- More to do:
  - Study the radio spectral index along the kpc-scale jet and compare with X-ray spectral index.
  - Search for turnover on the hotspots, which can constrain lower  $\gamma$ .
  - Compare the morphology of the hotspots of the LOFAR and *Chandra* images.

- Long baseline HBA observations provide 0.5 arcsec resolution imaging.
- Down to a noise of  $85~\mu{\rm Jy/beam},\,{\sim}50\%$  higher than the estimated thermal noise.
- Dynamic ranges of 10 000-20 000:1.
- Coherent in-band calibration although...
- ...global spectral index offset to be fixed.
- The outside-inside (long to short baselines) calibration process works extremely well.