LOFAR Beam-formed Modes

PWG User Experience - 2nd LOFAR Users Meeting

Jason Hessels

June 1st, 2015

Since last year

Big progress (thanks!)

- COBALT BF modes running!
- BF + IM modes again possible.
- Automated pipeline processing and archiving via MoM!
- Science Support runs pulsar observations!
- Some tentative moves towards parallel observing.

System Stability

- Stability and station health particularly the oscillating tiles is a major concern.
- More careful record of system health monitoring.
- Again several months of low-quality data because of incorrect phase calibration table.
- Need to have careful tracking of phasecalibration table changes and any clock changes.

LTA

- Still really painful to find/retrieve data.
 Can't even search on position and observing dates?!
- Data stored based on pipeline ID, and no link to observation ID.
- Few instances where LTA was down but there was no announcement of such.

Beam Model

- None of the existing beam models seem to do a great job with our data.
- Flux calibration remains challenging and imprecise (how is imaging doing?).

Needed Functionality

- Online RFI flagging (allows rejection of single stations).
- Writing out data as 8, 4, 2, or I-bit samples.
- More metadata (e.g. flagged tiles written to BF headers, BF calibration table).
- Very regular FE observations and station tests until the tile issue is no longer a problem.