The LOFAR Two-Metre Sky Survey Data Release 1 (LoTSS DR1)

optical/IR identifications and value-added catalogue



Wendy Williams (Leiden Observatory)

W. L. Williams^{1**}, M. J. Hardcastle¹, P. N. Best², J. Sabater², J. H. Croston³, K. J. Duncan⁴,
T. W. Shimwell^{5,4}, H. J. A. Röttgering⁴, D. Nisbet², G. Gürkan⁶, L. Alegre², R. K. Cochrane², A. Goyal⁷,
C. L. Hale⁸, N. Jackson⁹, M. Jamrozy⁷, R. Kondapally², M. Kunert-Bajraszewska¹⁰, V. H. Mahatma¹,
B. Mingo³, L. K. Morabito⁸, I. Prandoni¹¹, C. Roskowinski¹⁰, A. Shulevski¹², D. J. B. Smith¹, C. Tasse^{13,14},
S. Urquhart³, B. Webster³, G. J. White^{3,15}, R. J. Beswick⁹, J. R. Callingham⁵, K. T. Chyży⁷,
F. de Gasperin¹⁶, J. J. Harwood¹, M. Hoeft¹⁷, M. Iacobelli⁵, J. P. McKean^{5,18}, A. P. Mechev⁴, G. K. Miley⁴,
D. J. Schwarz¹⁹, R. J. van Weeren⁴

LoTSS DR1

Images & Radio Catalogue –
 Shimwell+ 2019

- •424 sq deg
- •6"
- •70 muJy/bm
- •320k sources

Leiden, May 2019

- •Value added catalogue Williams+ 2019
- •230k with ID (73%)
- •Redshifts Duncan+ 2019
- •160k with photo-z's (50%)



LoTSS-DR1 VAC Science Highlights

- •Mingo, B., et al. in prep
- •Wang, L., et al. in prep
- •Dabhade, P., et al. submitted
- •Gürkan, G., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A11
- •Croston, J. H., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A10
- •Hardcastle, M. J., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A12
- •Mahatma, V. H., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A13
- •Sabater, J., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A17
- •Mooney, S., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A14
- •O'Sullivan, S. P., et al. (2019), A&A, 622, A16

Value added catalogue

- •Source association and deblending
- •Combining components into sources, and splitting up incorrectly combined components



Value added catalogue

- •Source association and deblending
- •Combining components into sources, and splitting up incorrectly combined components



Value added catalogue

- •Source association and deblending
- •Combining components into sources, and splitting up incorrectly combined components
- Optical identifications
- likelihood ratios
- •visual association and identification (LOFAR galaxy zoo)
- •LoTSS tier 1 uses optical/IR data from both
- •PanSTARRS (grizy)
- •AllWISE (3.4, 4.6, 12, 22 μm)

–Combined into a single catalogue using likelihood ratio matching and including WISEonly and PanSTARRS i-band-only sources

Likelihood ratio identifications

•For non-complex sources the optical IDs are determined from colourand magnitude-dependent likelihood ratios

•at a given magnitude(m) and colour (c) we determine:

•the sky density – n(m,c)

•the a priori probability that the radio source has a counterpart in this bin – q(m,c)

-for a potential match the likelihood ratio is $LR = \frac{q(m,c)f(r)}{n(m,c)}$

•where f(r) is the probability distribution of the offset between the radio and optical positions





Likelihood ratio identifications

•The probability of the reddest galaxies to host a radio source is an order of magnitude higher than those of the bluest galaxies



LOFAR Galaxy Zoo

•For complex sources the optical IDs are determined from visual association of radio components and optical identification

•Expert volunteers / KSP members only



LOFAR Galaxy Zoo

•For complex sources the optical IDs are determined from visual association of radio components and optical identification

•Expert volunteers / KSP members only



Leiden, May 2019



Great work! Looks like this project is out of data at the moment! See the results or dismiss this message



Select **additional** source components that go with the LOFAR source marked with the cross. If none, don't select anything

🔶 Compone	nt selector		0 drawn
Need some help) with this task?		
Back		Next	
Show the projec	t tutorial:		



Great work! Looks like this project is out of data at the moment! See the results or dismiss this message



Select all the **plausible** optical identifications. If there is no plausible candidate host galaxy, don't select anything

🚸 Host galax	y selector		0 drawn
Need some help	with this task?		
Back		Next	
Show the projec	t tutorial		



÷ + C 3 0 0 Clippe o 9 ۲ Ø 8. Δ 0 00 🗘 🔳 • 0 0

Great work! Looks like this project is out of data at the moment! See the results or dismiss this message

Is this an artefact, is more than one source blended in the current ellipse, or is the image too zoomed in to see all the components? Is one of the images missing? Is the optical host galaxy broken into many components?

Artefact
Blend
Too zoomed in
Image missing
Host galaxy broken up
Need some help with this task?
Back Done
Show the project tutorial

Selection for LGZ/LR identification

•Sources are selected for either likelihood ratio identification or visual analysis through a decision tree based on the PyBDSF source properties

•Size

•Flux

- •Distance to neighbours
- Likelihood ratios
- •Gaussian components

•Involving some stages of





Selection for LGZ/ LR identification

- •Small multiple Gaussian component sources
- •Outputs select either
- -LR identifications
- -LGZ processing
- -'deblending' for additional 'expert' visual processing
- •Uses LR determined for both the source and its Gaussian components and tries to select 'best' one



Leiden, May 2019

The final catalogue

- •IDs for 200k sources (~70%)
- •Most from LR
- •But many interesting/bright source IDs/associations from LGZ



	Number	Number	ID
		with ID	fraction
All Sources	$318,\!520$	231,716	0.73
LR	299,730	$221,\!269$	0.74
LGZ	$11,\!989$	$7,\!144$	0.60
Deblending	$2,\!435$	2,338	0.96
Bright galaxy	965	965	1.00
No ID possible	$3,\!401$	0	0.00

The final catalogue

- •IDs for 200k sources (~70%)
- •Most from LR
- •But many interesting/bright source IDs/associations from LGZ



Redshifts

•160k with photo-z's (50%) – Duncan+ 2019

And rest-frame colours



23k LoTSS RLAGN



•72k – flux cut >0.5 mJy – with optical ID – with good z

•RLAGN / SF (23k / 42k) – Spectra (MPAJHU), Luminosity, WISE colour, K mag

Leiden, May 2019



- •Most of the faint sources are unresolved (easy to ID)
-but source density is higher
- Improved selection and prefiltering for LGZ
- •Machine learning (Lara Alegre, Philip Best)
- •Further internal LOFAR Galaxy Zoo version 1
- •But with deeper optical images

Cross-Ids for deep fields



•Deep optical/IR images.... and deeper radio data



Cross-Ids for Tier1 DR2

- •LRs scale up easily, LGZ less well so
- Improved selection and prefiltering for LGZ
- •Machine learning
- •SOM
- •LOFAR Galaxy Zoo version 2
- •Will be public ('inherit' radio galaxy zoo users)
- •Simplified
- -Colour optical image
- -No positions of optical catalogue sources
- •With more documentation
- Expanded tutorial + videQendy Williams LoTSS Optical IDs





TASK

TUTORIAL

Selecting components

Select all the **dashed ellipses** that you believe belong to the same structure as the **solid ellipse**. You can do this by clicking inside the ellipses. You do not need to click inside the **solid ellipse**.

Component selector 0 drawn

Next -

Leiden, May 2019



TASK

TUTORIAL

Optical Identification

Select the **optical source** (galaxy) from which the radio emission may originate. If there is no plausible optical source then do nor select anything. If you think that there might be more than one optical source, you can select these as well but try to be as conservative as possible.

🔶 Host galaxy selector

0 drawn

NEED SOME HELP WITH THIS TASK?

Back

ext →

Leiden, May 2019

Wendy Williams – LoTSS Optical IDs

24



TASK

TUTORIAL

Additional information

Does it not look like a natural source (artefact)? Does it look like several distinct radio sources form part of the same ellipse (blend)? Do you think there is more emission associated with the source outside the image shown (Too zoomed in)? Is part of the optical image missing (image missing)? Or is something else wrong? Let us know!

When you are done you can go on to the next image. Do not forget that you can also click on "Talk" if you have a question or want to discuss this image with others.

Artefact		
Blend		
Too zoomed in		
Image missing		
Other		
NEED SOME HELP WITH THIS TASK?		
Book Dana 0 Talla Dana		

Leiden, May 2019

SSIEV TALK COLLECT RECENT

Common types of (radio) sources

We show five examples of different radio sources and the corresponding way to treat them.

Large Double-Lobed Sources

<

Most radio sources are symmetric, as the two jets fan out in opposite directions. In many cases it is easy to find the galaxy hosting the supermassive blackhole from which the radio emission originates, in the middle of the line joining the two lobes.



Small Double-Lobed Sources

Since most radio objects are far away, you will often find the zoomed out version of the previous type of source. These are compact symmetric sources with the optical source galaxy located in the middle.



Summary

•Providing associations and optical IDs is essential for a vast range of science

•LoTSS DR1 uses both automated likelihood ratio identifications and people-powered visual identifications

•We will continue in a similar way for the next stages of the survey, but people are working on improvements including machine learning approaches (e.g. SOM – Mostert+ in prep, CLARAN – van Buchem+)



LoTSS–SDSS RLAGN: <u>The most massive galaxies are always switched on</u>

•32% of the SDSS DR7 Spectroscopic sample detected in LoTSS

•AGN and SFG separated using combination of multiple criteria (BPT, D4000, WISE colours, radio excess): 2121 AGN at z < 0.3



Hardcastle+ 2019

23k LoTSS RLAGN



•72k – flux cut >0.5 mJy – with optical ID – with good z

•RLAGN / SF (23k / 42k) – Spectra (MPAJHU), Luminosity, WISE colour, K mag Leiden, May 2019 Wendy Williams – LoTSS Optical IDs

Lotss Rlagn Klf

 Infered jet powers – forward modelling of analytical RLAGN models and distributions of environments, redshifts, lifetimes

•kinetic luminosity function p(Q)

 integral of Qp(Q)d log(Q) – energy per comoving volume injected by all RLAGN jets into their host environments

•total RLAGN kinetic luminosity density of 7 × 10^{31} W Mnc⁻³ – enough to offset cluster cooling</sup>



30.0

35

36

37

 $\log_{10}\tilde{Q}(W)$

38

39



The environments of LoTSS AGN

R14 W12 0.35 •SDSS DR8 group/cluster catalogues 0.30 RedMaPPer (Rykoff+ 14) – 1000 clusters Cluster match fraction 0.25 •Wen+ 14 – 4000 clusters 0.20 •10% of AGN associated with an SDSS-0.15 catalogued group/cluster 0.10 0.05 2.50 R14 W12 2.25 0.00 22 23 24 25 26 log₁₀ (150-MHz luminosity / W Hz⁻¹) 2.00 1.75 1.50 umper of matches 1.25 1.00 1.00 •fraction of AGN with a group/cluster association increases with radio luminosity •>60% of even the most luminous RLAGN do not have a group/cluster association -> a substantial 0.75 population of powerful RLAGN in haloes with 0.50 $M_{200} < 10^{14} M_{sup}$ 0.25 25 50 75 100 125 150 175 200

0.40

Leiden, May 2019

Richness)

Wendy Williams – LoTSS Optical IDs

27