

# DRAGNET Cluster Benchmark Numbers

Parts of the cluster and interconnects have been stress tested to optimize configuration and to find upper performance bounds that can be useful for application optimization and rough capacity estimates.

The tests described tend to cover *maximum* achievable performance results on a synthetic ideal workload. It is very likely that your (real) application will never reach these numbers, as the workload is non-ideal, and reaching peak performance can take a lot of effort. But these numbers can serve as a top reference.

## Cluster Specifications

## Networking

We have benchmarked the infiniband and 10G networks. A good guide is available at the <http://fasterdata.es.net/> under Host Tuning (and to a lesser extend under Network Tuning). But the indicated Linux kernel sysctl knobs did not help; CentOS 7 already has decent settings, and our transfers are all on a low latency LAN (as opposed to wide-area).

## Infiniband

Each drgXX node has an FDR (54.545 Gbit/s) HCA (Host Channel Adapter) local the second CPU (i.e. GPU 1). The 36 port cluster switch is connected to the COBALT switch with 5 aggregated lines (272.727 Gbit/s). See below what can be achieved under ideal circumstances.

### IPoIB: TCP and UDP

An application that uses the Infiniband (ib) network normally uses IPoIB (IP-over-Infiniband) to transfer data via TCP or UDP. DRAGNET IPoIB settings have been optimized for TCP (at the cost of UDP performance). We (mostly) use TCP and will not receive UDP data from LOFAR stations directly.

We used the `iperf3` benchmark and got the following bandwidth numbers between two drgXX nodes:

Out-of-the-box TCP bandwidth: 26-28 Gbit/s. We can `'get iperf3'` TCP bw to 45.4 Gbit/s:

```
# Set CPU scaling gov to 'performance' (default is 'powersave') (from 39.3
to 45.4 Gbit/s (TCP, 2 streams))
$ for i in /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpu*/cpufreq/scaling_governor; do echo
performance | sudo tee $i; done
```

```
[amesfoort@drg23 ~]$ sudo iperf3 -A 10 -B drg23-ib -s
```

```
[amesfoort@drg21 ~]$ sudo iperf3 -N -4 -A 10 -B drg21-ib -i 1 -l 1M -P 2 -t 20 -c drg23-ib
```

-A 10: sets CPU affinity to hw thread 10. mlx\_4 is on the 2nd CPU (8-15(,24-31)) and the INT handler happens to be on hw thr 9

-A 10 (or another suitable nr): from 26.2 to 45.4 Gbit/s (tcp, 2 streams)

-P 2: 2 parallel streams instead of 1: from 36.4 to 45.4 Gbit/s (tcp, -A 10)

I have extensively tried the sysctl knobs of the Linux kernel networking settings (net.core.\*, net.ipv4.\*, txqueuelen), but I did not get an improvement. Likely, Linux kernel autotuning + CentOS 7 settings are already ok for local area networking up to at least 45 Gbit/s.

A real application (not a synthetic benchmark) likely does something else except for data transfer and may have trouble reaching these numbers, because CPU load is a limiting factor and the clock frequency boost of 1 core on drgXX node CPUs is higher (up to 3.2 GHz) than for all cores at once (up to 2.6 GHz). Standard drgXX node CPU clock frequency is 2.4 GHz.

Numbers (before tuning iperf3 tests) obtained using qperf can be seen below under the RDMA sub-section.

## RDMA

RDMA (Remote Direct Memory Access) allows an application to directly access memory on another node. Although some initial administration is set up via the OS kernel, the actual transfer commands and completion handling does not go via the kernel. This also saves data copying on sender and receiver and CPU usage.

Typical applications that may use RDMA are applications that use MPI (Message Passing Interface) (such as COBALT), or (hopefully) the LUSTRE client. NFS can also be set up to use RDMA. You can program directly into the verbs and rdma-cm C APIs and link to those libraries, but be aware that extending some code to do this is not a 1 hr task... (Undoubtedly, there is also a Python module that either only wraps or even makes makes life easier.)

We used the qperf benchmark and got the following bandwidth and latency numbers between two drgXX nodes (TCP/UDP/SCTP over IP also included, but not as fast as mentioned above):

```
[amesfoort@drg22 ~]$ qperf drg23-ib sctp_bw sctp_lat tcp_bw tcp_lat udp_bw
udp_lat
sctp_bw:
    bw = 355 MB/sec
sctp_lat:
    latency = 8.94 us
tcp_bw:
    bw = 3.65 GB/sec
tcp_lat:
    latency = 6.33 us
udp_bw:
    send_bw = 6.12 GB/sec
    rcv_bw = 3.71 GB/sec
```

```
udp_lat:
  latency = 6.26 us
```

```
[amesfoort@drg22 ~]$ sudo qperf drg23-ib rc_bi_bw rc_bw rc_lat uc_bi_bw
uc_bw uc_lat ud_bi_bw ud_bw ud_lat
rc_bi_bw:
  bw = 11.9 GB/sec
rc_bw:
  bw = 6.38 GB/sec
rc_lat:
  latency = 5.73 us
uc_bi_bw:
  send_bw = 12 GB/sec
  recv_bw = 11.9 GB/sec
uc_bw:
  send_bw = 6.24 GB/sec
  recv_bw = 6.21 GB/sec
uc_lat:
  latency = 4.03 us
ud_bi_bw:
  send_bw = 10.1 GB/sec
  recv_bw = 10.1 GB/sec
ud_bw:
  send_bw = 5.93 GB/sec
  recv_bw = 5.93 GB/sec
ud_lat:
  latency = 3.94 us
```

```
[amesfoort@drg22 ~]$ sudo qperf drg23-ib rc_rdma_read_bw rc_rdma_read_lat
rc_rdma_write_bw rc_rdma_write_lat rc_rdma_write_poll_lat uc_rdma_write_bw
uc_rdma_write_lat uc_rdma_write_poll_lat
rc_rdma_read_bw:
  bw = 5.6 GB/sec
rc_rdma_read_lat:
  latency = 4.99 us
rc_rdma_write_bw:
  bw = 6.38 GB/sec
rc_rdma_write_lat:
  latency = 5.35 us
rc_rdma_write_poll_lat:
  latency = 925 ns
uc_rdma_write_bw:
  send_bw = 6.26 GB/sec
  recv_bw = 6.23 GB/sec
uc_rdma_write_lat:
  latency = 3.58 us
uc_rdma_write_poll_lat:
  latency = 922 ns
```

```
[amesfoort@drg22 ~]$ sudo qperf drg23-ib rc_compare_swap_mr rc_fetch_add_mr
ver_rc_compare_swap ver_rc_fetch_add
```

```
rc_compare_swap_mr:
    msg_rate = 2.08 M/sec
rc_fetch_add_mr:
    msg_rate = 2.14 M/sec
ver_rc_compare_swap:
    msg_rate = 2.1 M/sec
ver_rc_fetch_add:
    msg_rate = 2.4 M/sec
```

## 10 Gbit/s Ethernet

The drgXX and dragproc nodes have a 10 Gbit/s ethernet adapter local to the first CPU (i.e. GPU 0). A 48 port Ethernet switch is connected to a LOFAR core switch with 6 aggregated lines (60 Gbit/s). See below what can be achieved under ideal circumstances.

One should be able to achieve (near) 10 Gbit/s using a TCP socket stream. The `iperf` benchmark achieves 9.91 Gbit/s.

The 6x 10G trunk is apparently not able to utilize all 6 links simultaneously (some info on the why is available, but off-topic here). The following is what can be max expected for applications between DRAGNET and COBALT across 10G:

```
DRAGNET -> COBALT, 8x iperf TCP: 38.8 Gbit/s. Second run: 39.7 Gbit/s. (Aug 2015)
```

And a week later we achieved 10G higher throughput using 10 COBALT and 10 DRAGNET nodes. Commands: `iperf -N -B 10.168.130.1 -s` and `iperf -N -B 10.168.96.1 -c 10.168.130.1 -i 1`

```
DRAGNET -> COBALT (1 iperf TCP stream per node) (6x10G) (otherwise idle clusters and network) (Sep 2015)
#streams      bandwidth (Gbit/s)
1              9.91 (1 full bw)
2             19.82 (2 full bw)
3             29.73 (3 full bw)
4             29.76 (2 full bw, 2 ~half bw)
5             29.68 (1 full bw, 4 ~half bw)
6             39.54 (2 full bw, 4 ~half bw)
7             49.49 (3 full bw, 4 ~half bw) (best result, 47-49.5)
8             46.19 (1 full bw, 7(?) ~half bw) (best result after
6 runs, half of the runs didn't do 8 streams)
9             49.28 (1 full bw, 8 ~half bw)
10            49.13;50.52 (0 full bw, 10 ~half bw)
```

```
COBALT -> DRAGNET (1 iperf TCP stream per node) (6x10G) (otherwise idle clusters and network) (Sep 2015)
#streams      bandwidth (Gbit/s)
1              9.91 (1 full bw)
2             19.82 (2 full bw)
```

3	19.82;9.92 (2 full bw, 2 ~half; or 3x 1/3rd)
4	29.72 (2 full bw, 2 ~half)
5	39.64 (3 full bw, 2 ~half) (better than dragnet->cobalt
#streams=5)	
8	49.52 (4 full bw, 3 2-3G, 1 near <1G)
10	48.87 (3 full bw, the rest 2-3 G)

From:

<https://www.astron.nl/lofarwiki/> - **LOFAR Wiki**

Permanent link:

[https://www.astron.nl/lofarwiki/doku.php?id=dragnet:cluster\\_benchmark&rev=1469643738](https://www.astron.nl/lofarwiki/doku.php?id=dragnet:cluster_benchmark&rev=1469643738)

Last update: **2016-07-27 18:22**

