Test of the Implementation of polrotation the BBS Beam-Model Andreas Horneffer 16.1.2012

1) Simulated Data

I simulated data of a single point source with 90 Jy Stokes I and 10 Jy Stokes Q flux in the center of the beam.

As template (for UV-coverage direction etc.) I took one subband of observation L2011_23648: "L23648_SB042_uv.MS.dppp" (an observation of

PSR J0218+42 with 3C66 and 3C65 in the FOV).

The resulting visibilities can be seen in these two plots:



Left: Old beam-model, Right: new beam-model

2) Comparison with real Data

To compare the beam-models with real data I generated simulated data with BBS for the observation used as a template before, but now using the sky-model used for calibration of the data ((clean-)component list of a WSRT map of the same region). Then I compared the simulated visibilities for the two simulations with the uncalibrated data. To reduce the noise the data was heavily averaged (all 3 frequency channels and 600 second into one data point).

On short baselines an eyeball-check gives a better correlation with the new beammodel than with the old. On long baselines the noise is too high to draw a conclusion.



3) Calibrating and imaging data

PSR J0218+42 is highly polarized, in a correct calibration (including ionospheric effects) the position angle of the pulsar should stay constant during the whole observation. (6.5 usable hours)

I calibrated the data on the already mentioned sky-model and imaged the calibrated visibilities with the awimager in 1 hour steps.

The results are not conclusive, the position angle of the polarized emission changed between beam-models, but in both cases it changes from time-step to time-step. To me it is unclear if this is a problem of the awimager, changes in the ionosphere or something else.

The peak fluxes of PSR J0218+42 in I, Q and U and from that calculated the fraction of polarization and position angle for the first 6 hours of the observation are listed below.

Old I	Old Q	Old U	Old %	Old PA	New I	New Q	New U	New %	New PA
0.34	-0.24	0.24	99%	315	0.35	0.34	-0.16	107%	115
0.26	0.28	0.12	117%	67	0.27	0.33	-0.19	141%	120
0.57	0.34	-0.21	70%	122	0.57	+/-0	-0.37	65%	180
0.61	0.38	-0.07	63%	100	0.59	-0.33	0.09	58%	285
0.65	0.4	+/-0	61%	90	0.68	-0.15	0.37	58%	337
0.92	0.35	0.2	43%	60	0.9	0.28	0.24	41%	49
	Old I 0.34 0.26 0.57 0.61 0.65 0.92	Old IOld Q0.34-0.240.260.280.570.340.610.380.650.40.920.35	Old IOld QOld U0.34-0.240.240.260.280.120.570.34-0.210.610.38-0.070.650.4+/-00.920.350.2	Old IOld QOld UOld %0.34-0.240.2499%0.260.280.12117%0.570.34-0.2170%0.610.38-0.0763%0.650.4+/-061%0.920.350.243%	Old IOld QOld UOld %Old PA0.34-0.240.2499%3150.260.280.12117%670.570.34-0.2170%1220.610.38-0.0763%1000.650.4+/-061%900.920.350.243%60	Old IOld QOld UOld %Old PANew I0.34-0.240.2499%3150.350.260.280.12117%670.270.570.34-0.2170%1220.570.610.38-0.0763%1000.590.650.4+/-061%900.680.920.350.243%600.9	Old IOld QOld UOld %Old PANew INew Q0.34-0.240.2499%3150.350.340.260.280.12117%670.270.330.570.34-0.2170%1220.57+/-00.610.38-0.0763%1000.59-0.330.650.4+/-061%900.68-0.150.920.350.243%600.90.28	Old IOld QOld UOld %Old PANew INew QNew U0.34-0.2499%3150.350.34-0.160.260.280.12117%670.270.33-0.190.570.34-0.2170%1220.57+/-0-0.370.610.38-0.0763%1000.59-0.330.090.650.4+/-061%900.68-0.150.370.920.350.243%600.90.280.24	Old IOld QOld UOld %Old PANew INew QNew UNew %0.34-0.240.2499%3150.350.34-0.16107%0.260.280.12117%670.270.33-0.19141%0.570.34-0.2170%1220.57+/-0-0.3765%0.610.38-0.0763%1000.59-0.330.0958%0.650.4+/-061%900.68-0.150.3758%0.920.350.243%600.90.280.2441%

The awimager call used was:

awimager

```
ms=L23648_SB042_uv.beamtest-imaging-new.dppp.ndppp.ndppp
image=L23648_SB042_uv-new-aw-image-6thh wprojplanes=64
npix=1024 cellsize=10arcsec data=DATA padding=1. niter=500
stokes=IQUV mode=channel operation=csclean timewindow=500
threshold=0. displayprogress=True
select='TIME>2011/02/27/18:59:00.000 and
TIME<2011/02/27/19:59:00.000'</pre>
```