

Imaging Spectroscopy of a Type II solar radio burst observed by LOFAR

Nicolina Chrysaphi and Eduard P. Kontar

School of Physics and Astronomy University of Glasgow, UK

The Broad Impact of Low Frequency Observing 20th June 2017, Bologna, Italy







- What are Coronal Mass Ejections and Type II solar radio bursts?
- Why is it important to study solar processes?
- How to obtain information from Type II burst observations
 - Using the dynamic spectrum morphology to extract the local coronal magnetic field
 - Imaging of the emission source
- Overview of obtained results



- Sporadic violent solar eruptions of massive plasma and magnetic structures into the interplanetary space.
- The rapid expulsion of particles by the CME forms abrupt discontinuities in density, pressure, and temperature producing a shock wave.
- A "bump-in-tail" instability in the electron beam distribution will result in Landau resonance and produce Langmuir waves. This process is referred to as the Plasma Emission mechanism.





- Electrons excited by shock waves manifest as Type II radio bursts and radiate through the plasma emission mechanism
- Emission at fundamental (f) and second-harmonic (2f) of local plasma frequency can be observed (Mclean & Labrum, 1985)
- Each of the Fundamental (F) and Harmonic (H) bands can experience splitting into two thinner lanes, a phenomenon known as "band-splitting"



Characteristic Type II morphology due to the slow drift from high to low frequencies

Splitting of both F and H bands is visible



- CMEs can reach Earth and cause geomagnetic storms that can be very damaging.
- Type II bursts trace outward propagating shock waves and can thus be used as a diagnostic tool for shock wave parameters and local coronal conditions at each point in space.
- Advantage of Radio Observations: Ability to extract information from solar eruptions at distances close to the solar surface that cannot be probed in-situ or imaged at higher wavelengths.
- Observe the detailed structure of Type II bursts at previously largely unexplored frequencies with a telescope of unprecedented capabilities.



25th June 2015 observations

• URAN-2



Nançay Decameter Array

Right Handed Polarization





25th June 2015 observations

• URAN-2



• Nançay Decameter Array

Right Handed Polarization





25th June 2015 LOFAR observation





- Linear fit considering the highest intensities
- Since the band is split, a fit was applied on each of the upper and lower band parts
- Frequency drift rate given by gradient of line
- Decrease in frequency with time corresponds to decrease in densities encountered as shock propagates away from the Sun





Coronal Density Model

• Assume fundamental emission,

 $f_{pe} = 8.98 \times 10^3 \sqrt{n_e}$ [Hz]

where: n_e is in cm⁻³

• Take the **1xNewkirk** coronal density model (1961):

$$n_e = N \times n_0 \times 10^{4.32/R}$$
 [cm⁻³]

where: $n_0 = 4.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ N = 1 for 1×Newkirk

R = distance from solar centre $[R_{\odot}]$

- Can estimate the source's distance from the solar centre (R)
- R was estimated to be between 1.56-1.79 R_{\odot}





• Following calculations consider Upper Band frequency drift rate only

Shock Speed

• The (radial) shock speed is calculated through:





1.4e+09

1.8e+09

- A band-splitting interpretation proposed by Smerd et al. (1974; 1975) attributes the splitting to *simultaneous emission from the upstream and downstream parts* of a shock front
- Relates band-splitting to the *Rankine-Hugoniot jump conditions* across the shock
- Relative bandwidth (BWD) related to density jump across the shock (Priest, 2014):

$$BWD = \frac{f_U - f_L}{f_L}$$
$$X \equiv \frac{n_2}{n_1} = \left(\frac{f_U}{f_L}\right)^2 = (BWD + 1)^2$$

where:

U = upper band (higher frequency) L = lower band (lower frequency) 10:46:00 10:46:20 10:46:40 10:47:00 10:47:20 10:47:40 Start Time (25-Jun-15 10:45:47)

7.2e+08

1.1e+09

3.7e+08

1.6e+07

50

40

30

Frequency [MHz]

• Estimated (average) density jump, X = 1.40





• <u>Assume:</u> (i) plasma beta, $\beta = 0.5$

(ii) adiabatic index, $\gamma = 5/3$

(iii) angle between shock normal and upstream B-field, θ = 90°.

So, the Alfvén Mach Number, M_A (Vršnak et al., 2002):

$$M_A = \sqrt{\left(\frac{X(X+5+5\beta)}{2(4-X)}\right)}$$

= 1.55

And the Alfvén Speed, $V_{\rm A}$:

$$V_A = \frac{V_{shock}}{M_A} \qquad [kms^{-1}]$$



Since
$$V_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}} = \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi \times 10^{-7} m_i n_i}}$$
 [ms⁻¹]

Taking: $n_i = n_e$ and $m_i = m_{proton}$

The magnetic field is estimated using:

$$B_{est} = 5.1 \times 10^{-5} \times V_A \times f_{pe}$$
 [Gauss]

where: V_A is in kms^{-1} f_{pe} is in MHz

Compare to the magnetic field model e.g., Dulk and Mclean (1978):

$$B_{model} = 0.5(R-1)^{-1.5}$$
 [Gauss]

where: $R = distance in R_{\odot}$



- Imaging a specific moment in time and frequency enables the examination of the motion of the emission source during the observation
- Selected points in time and frequency for:

Type II upper and lower bands (shown in <u>black crosses</u>)

Type III burst at 10:47:43 UT (shown in <u>red crosses</u>)

- For the *Type II*: an UPPER band point and a LOWER band point is selected for each moment in time
- For the *Type III*: selected points across frequencies for a single moment in time





Type II:

- (left) Centroid locations plotted along with 90% maximum intensity contours for the Type II burst. The black diamonds represent individual beams and collectively the Field of View of LOFAR during the observation.
- (right) A magnification into the Type II sources and associated centroids
- Blue colour scheme used for upper band sources and red for the lower band.





Type III:

- (left) Centroid locations plotted along with 90% maximum intensity contours for the Type III burst. The black diamonds represent individual beams and collectively the Field of View of LOFAR during the observation.
- (right) Error bars assigned to Gaussian estimations of the Type III centroids





1500

X (arcsec)

2000

2500

500

1000

Eruption starting at 10:48 UT

X (arcsec)





X (arcsec)

18



- Combination of:
 - SDO/AIA image showing solar surface near Type II occurrence time
 - SOHO/LASCO (C2) running difference image near Type II start time
 - Centroid locations obtained using LOFAR data
- <u>Crosses</u> represent: Type II upper band centroids Type II lower band centroids Type III centroids
- Fitted lines through both Type III (yellow) and Type III (purple) centroids point towards active region from which a CME at around 9:00 UT originated, but their location and timing coincides with the eruption at around 10:48 UT





- Combination of:
 - SDO/AIA image showing solar surface near Type II occurrence time
 - SOHO/LASCO (C2) running difference image near Type II start time
 - Centroid locations obtained using LOFAR data
- <u>Crosses</u> represent: Type II upper band centroids Type II lower band centroids Type III centroids
- <u>Fitted lines</u> through both Type II (yellow) and Type III (purple) centroids point towards active region from which a CME at around 9:00 UT originated, but their location and timing coincides with the eruption at around 10:48 UT





- 1. Relation of the morphological characteristics of dynamic spectra to parameters describing the local coronal environment and the shock wave properties, e.g.:
 - Shock speed
 - Alfvén speed
 - Magnetic Field
- 2. Study of the Emission Source Motion
 - Compared position of Type II upper and lower band sources
 - Compared position of Type II sources and Type III
 - Illustrated direction of propagation with respect to solar surface
 - Illustrated emission source locations with respect to solar eruptions
- 3. Objective: Compare observational results against band-splitting models (see e.g. Zimovets et al., 2012)



THANK YOU

ANY QUESTIONS?

References:

Dulk, G. A., & McLean, D. J. 1978, Sol. Phys., 57, 279

McLean, D. J., & Labrum, N. R. 1985, Solar Radiophysics, CUP

Newkirk, J. G. 1961, ApJ, 133, 983

Priest, E. 2004, Magnetohydrodynamics of the Sun, CUP

Smerd, S. F. et al. 1974, IAUS, 57, 389

Smerd, S. F. et al. 1975, ApL, 16, 23

Vršnak, B. et al. 2002, A&A, 396, 673

White, S. M. 2007, Asian J. Phys., 16, 189

Zimovets, I. et al. 2012, A&A, 547, A6