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The landscape

Optical surveys tell us that galaxies are located mainly on the ‘red sequence’ or in the ‘blue cloud’

[Diagram showing the red sequence and blue cloud with labels for brightness and star-formation status (ongoing or stopped)]

→ star-formation ongoing OR stopped Gyrs ago...
At $z \sim 2$, star formation rate density (SFRD) was an order of magnitude higher than now...

$\bullet$ SFR vs. $M^*$ very smooth $\Rightarrow$ mergers only $\sim 10\%$ of SF at $z \sim 2$

$\bullet$ inflows of gas from IGM to fuel SF
Gas evolution

...while the cosmic neutral gas density has remained relatively constant...

[Zafar et al. (2013)]

Obreschkow & Rawlings (2009)

But semi-analytic models suggest could be due to changing $\text{H}_2$/HI ratio over the same time scale
SFR vs. neutral gas

- SF in THINGS spiral galaxies correlates with H$_2$ ...
  
  [Bigiel et al., 2008]

- ...while SFRs in high gas fraction galaxies in ALFALFA seem to scale with M$_{HI}$ ...
  - perhaps follow a different SF law?

  [Huang et al. (2012)]
The contribution by different galaxies to neutral gas density is an area of intense study in semi-analytic models currently...
Recent theory and simulations (e.g. Davé et al.) suggest that both inflows and outflows of gas are important in maintaining a smooth rate of galaxy growth...

- outflow models with winds dependent on v-dispersion and supernovae (for dwarfs) match low mass end of HIMF well
- NB: consistent with hierarchical structure formation
- outflow models predict evolution of the HIMF vs. z
Observing neutral H

We need to measure HI as a function of redshift to:

• understand the role of neutral gas in star formation and galaxy evolution
• put constraints on the models

...But HI is hard to observe!

[Local HI mass function](Martin et al. (2010))

\[ \phi^* = 0.0048 \]
\[ \log(M_\ast) = 9.96 \]
\[ \alpha = -1.33 \]

(measured for \( z < 0.06 \))
HI at higher z

The BUDHIES (Verheijen et al.) survey on the WSRT has observed 2 clusters at z~0.2 (1400h & 912h observing times!)

- Observed 150 galaxies in HI
- Find correlations between HI content and SFR as a function of environment
- Suggests progressive removal of HI as a function of group size
HI at higher z

The JVLA upgrade has made a deep HI survey possible with instantaneous bandwidth covering $0 < z < 0.45$.

The CHILES pilot survey of the COSMOS field (60h) has resulted thus far in 33 HI detections out to intermediate $z$: [Fernandez et al., (2013)]
HI at higher z

The JVLA upgrade has made a deep HI survey possible with instantaneous bandwidth covering $0 < z < 0.45$.

The CHILES pilot survey of the COSMOS field (60h) has resulted thus far in 33 HI detections out to intermediate $z$:

[Fernandez et al., (2013)]
South Africa’s SKA precursor instrument, MeerKAT, will have 64 x 13.5 m offset-Gregorian antennas and will be the most sensitive radio telescope in the Southern Hemisphere...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver bands (GHz)</th>
<th>UHF: 0.58 - 1.015 (2016/18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-band: 0.9 - 1.67 (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_e/T_{sys}) (m²/K)</td>
<td>UHF: 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-band: 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X-band: 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. baseline</td>
<td>8 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. baseline</td>
<td>29 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array config.</td>
<td>(r &lt; 400 \text{ m} ) (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 - 1000 m (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 - 4000 m (30%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Looking At the Distant Universe with the MeerKAT Array is one of 2 Priority-1 surveys to be done with MeerKAT (precursor SKA science)

**PIs:** Sarah Blyth (UCT), Benne Holwerda (Leiden) & Andrew Baker (Rutgers)
The team consists of 65 international researchers:

LADUMA aims to study galaxy evolution over ~half the age of the Universe

Headline science goals:

To investigate:

• the HI mass function in different environments out to $z \leq 0.6$
• for the first time, the evolution of $\Omega_{\text{HI}}$ using HI emission out to $z \leq 1\sim1.2$
• how galaxies’ HI masses depend on stellar/halo mass vs. $z$
• evolution of the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation with $z$
HI Mass Function

**HIMF vs. z**

- How do $M^{*}_{\text{HI}}$, $\alpha$ & normalisation vary vs. $z$?
- Help to constrain hierarchical galaxy formation models
- Effect of different environments?

![Graph showing the distribution of HI mass function vs. redshift](graph.png)

$\phi^* = 0.0048$

$\log(M_*) = 9.96$

$\alpha = -1.33$

[Martin et al. (2010)]
Neutral Gas Density

To study galaxy evolution over cosmic time, we need to understand where & how much HI exists...

What is the average amount of HI vs. z?

• How will HI emission measurements compare to Lyα and MgII absorber results at higher z?

\[ \Omega_{\text{HI}} \text{ vs. } z \]

[Zafar et al. (2013)]

\[
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\]
HI vs. Stellar/Halo Mass

How do galaxy HI masses scale with their stellar masses?

- Gas to stellar mass fraction calibrated with $u-K$ shows correlation with stellar mass (Kannappan 2004)
- ALFALFA-SDSS-GALEX sample shows HI-fraction correlations with colour and stellar mass surface density (Huang et al., 2012)
• Recent Galaxy Zoo analysis shows that late-type galaxy colour (SFR) correlates with halo mass:

\[ \text{Keres et al. (2010)} \]

• For late-type galaxies, \( M_{\text{halo}} \sim 10^{12} \) may be a stopping point for accretion...

\[ \text{Schawinski et al. (2014)} \]
• Stellar mass TFR shows evolution for 0<z<1.3
• Unknown how the Baryonic TFR evolves over cosmic time
• LADUMA will observe 1000s of HI profiles over a range of z
• Will need long integration times at lower z (resolved detections) and higher z (integrated detections)
Other science: OH megamasers

• OH megamasers (1.66 GHz) can be used to trace the galaxy merger rate out to z~1.8 (Briggs, 1998)

• Contaminant for HI line emission but relatively easy to distinguish (e.g. using photo-z, bright IR counterpart)
LADUMA will be the deepest HI survey prior to SKA, probing HI over $0 < z < 1.4$. Awarded a total observing time of 5000 hours:

- $z = 1.4$: 5.4 deg$^2$
- $z = 1$: 3.8 deg$^2$
- $z = 0$: 0.9 deg$^2$
- ECDF-S: 0.3 deg$^2$

- Single MeerKAT pointing will encompass ECDF-S:
  - Dec -27° → good UV coverage
  - Multi-wavelength data exist
  - ~ 4000 spec-z’s publicly available (although more needed to cover high-z MeerKAT footprint)
Final observing strategy TBD since MeerKAT requires 2 receivers to cover the range $0 < z < 1.4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver bands (GHz)</th>
<th>L-band: 0.9 - 1.67 (2016)</th>
<th>UHF: 0.58 - 1.015 (2018)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Anticipated direct detections ($5\sigma$):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>redshift range</th>
<th>approx. detections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$z &lt; 0.42$</td>
<td>~2000 - 3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.42 &lt; z &lt; 0.58$</td>
<td>~2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.58 &lt; z &lt; 1.4$</td>
<td>~1000 - 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* numbers based on Oxford S$^3$ simulations (Obreschkow et al., (2009))
HI Stacking

With the poor S/N at the higher redshifts, we will rely on HI spectral stacking to recover average HI properties of galaxies in various samples...

**STEP 1:** extract spectra using known positions and $z$

**STEP 2:** Using known $z$ values, shift all lines to common channel

**STEP 3:** Co-add spectra

\[
M_{HI} = \frac{236}{(1+z)} \left( \frac{S_{V}}{\text{mJy}} \right) \left( \frac{d_L}{\text{Mpc}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta V}{\text{km/s}} \right)
\]
Recent work

**HI vs. Optical redshifts:**

- N. Maddox et al., MNRAS (2013)
- In general optical emission line $z$ agree better with HI $z$, than do absorption line $z$
- While the $z$-errors < median $W_{50}$, stacking can be done (i.e. photometric redshifts not good enough for stacking)

### HI - Line velocity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectral Feature</th>
<th>Centre km s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Std Dev km s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$u - r \geq 2.3$ Centre km s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Std Dev km s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hα</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>21.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hβ</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>20.38</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>28.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O II] 3727 Å</td>
<td>-7.05</td>
<td>32.97</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>25.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III] 5008 Å</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>27.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaII K 3935 Å</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>48.13</td>
<td>-4.00</td>
<td>30.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaII H 3970 Å</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>33.90</td>
<td>38.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-band 4306 Å</td>
<td>-11.67</td>
<td>42.78</td>
<td>-17.91</td>
<td>31.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg B 5177 Å</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>61.76</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>54.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recent work

AAT spectroscopy (December 2013)

• 2 nights observing with AAOmega (A.J. Baker)

• observed 4260 objects in the LADUMA footprint
  • r < 20.5 taken from SWIRE gri imaging catalogue (Rowan-Robinson et al., 2008)

• Measured 3750 redshifts (88% success rate) using autoz (Baldry et al., 2014)
  • ~ 3600 new redshifts
Near future:

- Team meeting at ASTRON on Thursday
- Simulations and software to be written
- Further ancillary data to be gathered (redshifts)
- Exciting times ahead!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
<td>Justin Jonas</td>
<td>SKA-SA perspective on MeerKAT Large Survey Projects (timelines, time allocation, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 11:30</td>
<td>Lindsay Magnus</td>
<td>SKA-SA interface with MeerKAT LSPs, data pipeline, archiving, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 - 11:45</td>
<td>Kelley Hess</td>
<td>KAT-7 observations of the Antlia cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 - 12:00</td>
<td>Brad Frank</td>
<td>APERTIF calibration and data profiling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>